

the moral case against equity language

the moral case against equity language is a topic that has sparked intense debate across academic, corporate, and public spheres. As equity language policies gain traction in organizations and educational institutions, questions arise about their ethical foundations and real-world impact. This article delves deeply into the moral arguments against equity language, examining whether such policies truly foster justice or inadvertently undermine ethical principles like individual dignity, free expression, and authentic equality. We will explore philosophical critiques, practical consequences, and the broader implications for society and communication. By unpacking the moral case against equity language, readers will gain a nuanced understanding of why some argue these policies may do more harm than good, despite well-intentioned aims. Continue reading to discover the key concerns, ethical dilemmas, and expert perspectives surrounding this controversial topic.

- Understanding Equity Language: Definitions and Intentions
- The Philosophical Foundations of Moral Critique
- Equity Language and Free Expression
- Practical Consequences in Education and the Workplace
- Equity Language vs. True Equality
- Ethical Alternatives to Equity Language
- Conclusion: Reconsidering the Moral Implications

Understanding Equity Language: Definitions and Intentions

Equity language refers to carefully chosen words and phrases designed to promote fairness, inclusion, and sensitivity to diverse identities. Its advocates believe that altering language can reduce bias, challenge stereotypes, and foster a sense of belonging. Common examples include replacing “chairman” with “chairperson,” or “disabled” with “person with a disability,” aiming to avoid exclusion and stigma.

The intentions behind equity language are rooted in a desire to uplift marginalized groups and encourage respectful communication. As organizations embrace equity language guides and policies, they often present these changes

as moral imperatives. However, critics argue that the moral case for equity language requires closer scrutiny, especially regarding its effectiveness and ethical underpinnings.

The Philosophical Foundations of Moral Critique

Individual Autonomy and Agency

One central moral argument against equity language is its impact on individual autonomy. Philosophers emphasize that moral agency requires the freedom to express thoughts, beliefs, and identities authentically. Mandating equity language can be seen as a constraint on personal expression, potentially diminishing individual dignity and self-determination.

Authenticity and Truthfulness

Critics contend that equity language can sometimes prioritize politeness or perceived inclusivity over authenticity and truthfulness. When language is artificially shaped to conform to external standards, it may obscure genuine perspectives and hinder honest dialogue. Moral philosophy often values candor and the pursuit of truth, raising concerns about the ethical costs of enforced linguistic conformity.

Universal Human Values vs. Identity-Based Approaches

Equity language frequently centers on group identities, such as race, gender, or ability. Some moral theorists argue that focusing on universal human values—such as respect, kindness, and fairness—offers a more ethical approach than emphasizing differences. They question whether equity language inadvertently reinforces divisions rather than fostering unity and mutual understanding.

Equity Language and Free Expression

Freedom of Speech and Open Discourse

Freedom of speech is widely regarded as a cornerstone of ethical societies. The moral case against equity language often invokes this principle, warning that strict language policies may chill open discourse. Employees, students, and citizens may feel pressured to self-censor or avoid controversial topics,

fearing repercussions for not adhering to prescribed language.

- Potential erosion of academic and intellectual debate
- Increased anxiety about language use in professional settings
- Risk of punitive measures for perceived noncompliance
- Reduced willingness to engage in meaningful conversations

Balancing Respect and Free Expression

While respect for others is undeniably important, moral critics argue that equity language policies can tip the balance away from genuine dialogue. They suggest that ethical communication should prioritize mutual understanding and the right to disagree, rather than enforcing uniformity in speech. The tension between respect and free expression remains a central ethical dilemma.

Practical Consequences in Education and the Workplace

Impact on Learning and Critical Thinking

Institutions that adopt strict equity language guidelines may inadvertently hinder critical thinking and robust debate. Students and professionals might become reluctant to challenge prevailing ideas or explore controversial subjects, fearing accusations of insensitivity. This environment can stifle creativity, intellectual growth, and the open exchange of ideas—outcomes at odds with the moral goals of education.

Organizational Culture and Morale

In the workplace, equity language policies can affect morale and collaboration. Employees may perceive such policies as restrictive or patronizing, leading to disengagement or resentment. Others may feel tokenized or singled out by language designed to highlight identity differences. The moral case against equity language highlights the importance of fostering genuine inclusion through trust, respect, and authentic relationships rather than prescriptive guidelines.

Equity Language vs. True Equality

Equality of Opportunity and Fair Treatment

While equity language aims to promote fairness, critics argue that it may undermine true equality by emphasizing differences over commonalities. The moral case against equity language suggests that policies focused on equal treatment and opportunity—rather than identity-based distinctions—better uphold ethical principles of justice and fairness.

Risks of Perpetuating Stereotypes

Some scholars warn that equity language, despite its intentions, can unintentionally reinforce stereotypes by constantly referencing group identities. For instance, labeling individuals primarily by their race or ability status may perpetuate the very divisions and biases these policies seek to dismantle. The moral critique emphasizes the value of seeing each person as a unique individual, not solely defined by group membership.

Ethical Alternatives to Equity Language

Promoting Respectful Communication Without Mandates

Ethical alternatives to equity language focus on cultivating respect, empathy, and understanding without imposing rigid rules. Organizations and communities can encourage thoughtful speech, active listening, and open-mindedness, fostering an atmosphere where all voices are valued. Such approaches prioritize moral principles of kindness and justice while preserving individual autonomy.

Fostering Inclusive Policies Based on Universal Values

Instead of centering policies on specific words or phrases, some propose grounding inclusion efforts in universal ethical values. By emphasizing shared humanity, fairness, and mutual respect, institutions can create environments where diversity is celebrated organically, and ethical communication is encouraged through example rather than enforcement.

1. Encourage empathy and active listening
2. Provide education on cultural competency and sensitivity
3. Model inclusive behavior at all organizational levels
4. Facilitate open discussion about language and inclusion
5. Recognize and honor individual identities without reducing them to labels

Conclusion: Reconsidering the Moral Implications

The moral case against equity language encompasses a complex array of philosophical, practical, and ethical concerns. While equity language policies aim to foster fairness and inclusion, critics argue that they may inadvertently inhibit free expression, compromise authenticity, and undermine true equality. By examining these issues from multiple angles, organizations and individuals can make more informed decisions about how best to promote ethical communication and genuine inclusion. Ultimately, the debate calls for a thoughtful, principled approach that balances respect for diversity with the fundamental values of autonomy, justice, and truth.

Q: What is equity language and why is it controversial?

A: Equity language refers to the use of words and phrases designed to promote fairness and inclusion, often by acknowledging diverse identities. It is controversial because critics argue that it may restrict free expression and authenticity, while supporters believe it fosters respect and belonging.

Q: How does equity language impact individual autonomy?

A: Mandating equity language can limit individuals' ability to express themselves authentically, which some philosophers consider a violation of personal autonomy and moral agency.

Q: Why do moral critics oppose equity language

policies?

A: Moral critics oppose equity language policies because they may prioritize politeness over truthfulness, enforce conformity, and undermine values like free speech and genuine equality.

Q: Can equity language reinforce stereotypes?

A: Yes, some experts warn that by constantly referencing group identities, equity language may unintentionally reinforce stereotypes and divisions rather than dismantling them.

Q: What are some practical consequences of equity language in education?

A: In education, strict equity language guidelines can stifle critical thinking and open debate, leading to reduced intellectual growth and creativity.

Q: How does equity language affect workplace culture?

A: Equity language policies can impact workplace morale and collaboration, sometimes leading to disengagement or resentment if perceived as restrictive or patronizing.

Q: What are ethical alternatives to equity language?

A: Ethical alternatives include promoting respectful communication, empathy, and cultural competency without imposing rigid language mandates.

Q: Is equity language the same as promoting equality?

A: No, critics argue that equity language focuses on identity-based distinctions, which may undermine efforts to achieve true equality based on equal treatment and opportunity.

Q: How can organizations foster inclusion without equity language mandates?

A: Organizations can foster inclusion by modeling respectful behavior, facilitating open discussions, and emphasizing universal ethical values like kindness and fairness.

Q: What is the central moral dilemma in the debate on equity language?

A: The central moral dilemma is balancing the desire for respectful, inclusive communication with the need to preserve free expression, authenticity, and individual dignity.

[The Moral Case Against Equity Language](#)

Find other PDF articles:

<https://fc1.getfilecloud.com/t5-w-m-e-10/Book?dataid=LCH66-3337&title=red-rising.pdf>

The Moral Case Against Equity Language

Are you tired of the constant barrage of corporate jargon, particularly the ubiquitous term "equity"? While often presented as a solution to societal inequalities, a closer examination reveals that the language of "equity" can, paradoxically, hinder genuine progress towards a more just and equitable society. This article delves into the moral arguments against the widespread adoption of "equity" language, exploring its inherent ambiguities, potential for divisiveness, and ultimately, its failure to deliver on its promised aims. We'll examine the practical implications and offer alternative approaches grounded in principles of fairness and justice.

H2: The Semantic Slippery Slope of "Equity"

The core problem with the term "equity" lies in its inherent ambiguity. While often conflated with equality, the two concepts are fundamentally different. Equality implies treating everyone the same, regardless of their circumstances. Equity, on the other hand, suggests treating everyone differently based on perceived need or disadvantage. This seemingly nuanced distinction creates a significant ethical challenge. Who decides what constitutes "disadvantage"? Who determines the appropriate level of differential treatment? The subjective nature of these judgments opens the door to arbitrary decisions, potential biases, and ultimately, unfairness. The very language used to promote fairness risks undermining it.

H2: Equity Language Fosters Resentment and Division

The emphasis on group-based disparities inherent in "equity" language can inadvertently fuel resentment and social division. By highlighting differences and focusing on achieving proportional representation based on identity, it can create a climate of competition and animosity between groups. This approach runs counter to the ideal of a unified society where individuals are judged on their merit and character, not their group affiliation. The focus shifts from individual opportunity to group outcomes, potentially stifling individual agency and ambition.

H3: The Erosion of Meritocracy

The pursuit of "equity" often leads to the erosion of meritocracy. When decisions are made based on factors other than qualifications and performance, it undermines the principle of fair competition and rewards hard work. This can lead to a sense of injustice among those who feel they have been overlooked or unfairly disadvantaged, even if unintentional. This is especially detrimental in competitive fields where talent and effort should be the primary determinants of success.

H2: The Unintended Consequences of "Equity" Initiatives

Many well-intentioned "equity" initiatives have produced unintended and negative consequences. For instance, affirmative action programs, designed to address historical inequalities, have sometimes led to reverse discrimination and resentment. The focus on achieving specific numerical targets can overshadow the importance of individual merit, potentially leading to less qualified candidates being selected. This highlights the ethical dilemma at the heart of equity-based approaches: the potential for good intentions to pave the road to unintended harm.

H3: The Importance of Transparency and Accountability

The lack of transparency and accountability surrounding many "equity" initiatives exacerbates the problem. Often, the criteria used to determine disadvantage and the methods for achieving equity are unclear, making it difficult to assess the effectiveness and fairness of these programs. This opaqueness breeds suspicion and undermines trust in the process. A truly equitable system requires transparency and robust mechanisms for accountability to ensure that decisions are made fairly and consistently.

H2: A More Ethical Approach: Focusing on Equality of

Opportunity

Instead of focusing on achieving "equity" of outcome, a more ethically sound approach centers on ensuring equality of opportunity. This involves removing systemic barriers that prevent individuals from reaching their full potential, regardless of their background. This includes addressing issues such as access to education, healthcare, and economic opportunities. This approach avoids the pitfalls of group-based comparisons and focuses instead on creating a level playing field where everyone has a fair chance to succeed.

H2: Promoting Justice Through Fair Processes

True justice demands fair processes, not predetermined outcomes. While acknowledging historical injustices and systemic inequalities is crucial, the focus should remain on establishing transparent and impartial systems that treat everyone fairly according to established rules and standards. This allows individuals to compete on a level playing field, judged solely on their merits and contributions, fostering a society based on fairness and respect for individual achievement.

Conclusion

The language of "equity," while seemingly progressive, harbors inherent flaws that undermine its intended goals. Its ambiguity, potential for divisiveness, and tendency to compromise meritocracy present serious ethical concerns. A more effective and morally sound approach emphasizes equality of opportunity, fair processes, and transparent systems that promote individual agency and societal harmony. By focusing on creating a level playing field for all, we can move towards a truly just and equitable society, without resorting to divisive and ultimately counterproductive language.

FAQs

1. Isn't addressing historical inequities crucial? Absolutely. But this should be done through fair and transparent processes that focus on creating equal opportunity, not predetermined outcomes based on group identity.
2. Doesn't equity promote fairness? The term itself is ambiguous. While aiming for fairness, its implementation often leads to unintended consequences and a focus on group outcomes rather than individual merit.
3. What's a better alternative to "equity"? Focus on "equality of opportunity," "fairness," and

"justice." These terms are less ambiguous and less prone to misinterpretation.

4. How can we ensure fair processes? Through transparent decision-making, clear criteria, and robust accountability mechanisms to prevent bias and ensure equal treatment for all.

5. Isn't some level of affirmative action necessary? The effectiveness and ethical implications of affirmative action programs are subject to ongoing debate. The focus should be on evaluating their outcomes rigorously and ensuring they don't compromise fairness and merit.

the moral case against equity language: Learning to Be Fair Charles McNamara, 2024-11-12 Learning to Be Fair excavates the ancient origins of equity in classical Greek and Roman thought and traces their influence on lawyers, philosophers, America's Founding Fathers, and contemporary culture. He connects current debates about equity to long-standing questions about civil disobedience and the possibility of teaching people to be good.

the moral case against equity language: Rethinking Ageism Augie Fleras, 2024-09-12 Older adults may be the world's fastest growing demographic. Yet they remain vulnerable to biases and barriers that would be intolerable if directed at others. Such an indictment puts the onus on deconstructing the idea of ageism in terms of what it means (a riddle), how it works (a mystery), why it persists (an enigma), and what can be done about it (a puzzle). Reference to ageism must go beyond the idea of a "bug" in the system. Rather, ageism is the system, the default reality of an ageist society designed by, for, and about the young and able-bodied. Ageism also intersects with other forms of identity and inequality such as gender and race to amplify the downside of getting older and being old. Initiatives for advancing a rights-based, age-inclusive society must focus on calling out ageism as a precondition for calling in a national reset.

the moral case against equity language: Communities in Action National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice, Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States, 2017-04-27 In the United States, some populations suffer from far greater disparities in health than others. Those disparities are caused not only by fundamental differences in health status across segments of the population, but also because of inequities in factors that impact health status, so-called determinants of health. Only part of an individual's health status depends on his or her behavior and choice; community-wide problems like poverty, unemployment, poor education, inadequate housing, poor public transportation, interpersonal violence, and decaying neighborhoods also contribute to health inequities, as well as the historic and ongoing interplay of structures, policies, and norms that shape lives. When these factors are not optimal in a community, it does not mean they are intractable: such inequities can be mitigated by social policies that can shape health in powerful ways. Communities in Action: Pathways to Health Equity seeks to delineate the causes of and the solutions to health inequities in the United States. This report focuses on what communities can do to promote health equity, what actions are needed by the many and varied stakeholders that are part of communities or support them, as well as the root causes and structural barriers that need to be overcome.

the moral case against equity language: Critical Pedagogies for Modern Languages Education Derek Hird, 2023-07-13 In the context of Black Lives Matter, decolonizing initiatives, #MeToo, climate emergency protests and other movements for social and environmental justice, this volume posits a simple question: how can modern languages be taught so that they challenge rather than reinforce social inequalities? Informed by interdisciplinary theories, Critical Pedagogies for Modern Language Education focuses on practical discussions of case studies in areas directly relevant to the classroom contexts of modern languages educators. The volume transforms modern language educators and the modern language profession by putting the politics of language teaching at the centre of its analysis. With case studies covering 11 languages (Modern Standard Arabic,

Dutch, English, French, German, Levantine, Mandarin, Portuguese, Spanish, Swedish, Tamazight) across 13 countries and regions (Austria, Brazil, China, France, Italy, the Levant, Morocco, the Netherlands, Palestine, Spain, Sweden, the UK, and the USA), the contributors cover a wide range of theories, including critical discourse analysis, activist pedagogies, culturally sustaining pedagogy, linguistic justice and translanguaging. With student-teacher collaboration at its heart, critical modern languages pedagogy unmasks the ideologies and hegemonies that lie behind mainstream language use and affirms the value of minority linguistic and cultural practices. The volume thus provides transformative approaches to modern languages teaching and learning that respond to the key social concerns of the 21st century.

the moral case against equity language: *Encyclopedia of Equality, Equity, Diversity and Inclusion* Alain Klarsfeld, Stella Nkomo, Lucy Taksa, Anne-Françoise Bender, Gaëlle Cachat-Rosset, 2024-05-02 Providing comprehensive coverage of the field of diversity, equality, equity and inclusion (DEI), this timely Encyclopedia addresses significant developments in diversity management. Entries adopt both theoretical and critical approaches to construct a complete picture of this crucial approach to business practice.

the moral case against equity language: *Beyond Biden* Newt Gingrich, 2021-11-02 Bestselling author Newt Gingrich exposes the anti-American forces that have grown so large and so aggressive in their quest for power. The struggle between the defenders of America as an exceptional nation and the forces of anti-Americanism is reaching a fever pitch. These forces have grown so large, so well-financed, so entrenched and aggressive that they must be studied closely and understood completely if America is to survive this imminent civil war. In *Beyond Biden*, bestselling author Newt Gingrich brings together the various strands of the movement seeking to destroy true, historic American values and replace this country with one that's imposed on us by the combined power of government and social acceptance. Now a National Bestseller!

the moral case against equity language: *What Works* Iris Bohnet, 2016-03-08 Shortlisted for the Financial Times and McKinsey Business Book of the Year Award A Financial Times Best Business Book of the Year A Times Higher Education Book of the Week Best Business Book of the Year, 800-CEO-READ Gender equality is a moral and a business imperative. But unconscious bias holds us back, and de-biasing people's minds has proven to be difficult and expensive. By de-biasing organizations instead of individuals, we can make smart changes that have big impacts. Presenting research-based solutions, Iris Bohnet hands us the tools we need to move the needle in classrooms and boardrooms, in hiring and promotion, benefiting businesses, governments, and the lives of millions. "Bohnet assembles an impressive assortment of studies that demonstrate how organizations can achieve gender equity in practice...What Works is stuffed with good ideas, many equally simple to implement." —Carol Tavis, Wall Street Journal "A practical guide for any employer seeking to offset the unconscious bias holding back women in organizations, from orchestras to internet companies." —Andrew Hill, Financial Times

the moral case against equity language: *Bias Was a Genius* Tim Hardy-Lenik, 2024-05-31 So you get the Why? of diversity, equity and inclusion - you have even started to do the How? - but change isn't sticking, and your business is a fair-weather supporter of the work. *Bias Was a Genius* is a handbook for building sustainable, commercially relevant DEI theory to incorporate into your own DEI strategy and, as practitioners, to make change through self-reflection. Divided into three sections, *Bias Was a Genius* represents the three key Greek Delphic tenets written by Bias of Priene: Know Thyself, Certainty Brings Trouble, and Nothing to Excess. Section one, Know Thyself, explores the reasons, motivations and challenges to diversity, equity and inclusion, and tells part of the author's own story. Section two, Certainty Brings Trouble, explores the DEI theory and strategy alignment, encompassing elements from business-skill maturity and tokenism to how profit and ethics can align effectively. Section three, Nothing to Excess, explores rebalancing those DEI programmes and strategy-delivery mechanisms that aren't as impactful as they could be, and aims to make sustainable business practices the norm. Written by an industry leader translating academic research and understanding into a conversational style guide, peppered with their own experiences

and bits of humour, Bias Was a Genius is a call to action toward impactful change in the profession.

the moral case against equity language: The Case Against Consequentialism

Reconsidered Nikil Mukerji, 2016-08-30 This book argues that critics of consequentialism have not been able to make a successful and comprehensive case against all versions of consequentialism because they have been using the wrong methodology. This methodology relies on the crucial assumption that consequentialist theories share a defining characteristic. This text interprets consequentialism, instead, as a family resemblance term. On that basis, it argues quite an ambitious claim, viz. that all versions of consequentialism should be rejected, including those that have been created in response to conventional criticisms. The book covers a number of classic themes in normative ethics, metaethics and, particularly, ethical methodology and also touches upon certain aspects of experimental moral philosophy. It is written in clear language and is analytic in its argumentative style. As such, the book should appeal to students, graduate students as well as professional academics with an interest in analytic moral philosophy.

the moral case against equity language: Reports of Cases at Law and in Equity

Determined by the Supreme Court of the State of Iowa Iowa. Supreme Court, 1874

the moral case against equity language: *Reports of Cases at Law and in Equity, Argued and Determined in the Supreme Court of the State of Iowa, Taken from the Original Opinions and Records, and Embracing All the Cases Decided During the Period Covered by the First Volumes of Iowa Reports, by Clarke, with Copious Notes* Iowa. Supreme Court, 1874

the moral case against equity language: Handbook of Urban Educational Leadership

Muhammad Khalifa, Noelle Witherspoon Arnold, Azadeh F. Osanloo, Cosette M. Grant, 2015-06-01 This authoritative handbook examines the community, district, and teacher leadership roles that affect urban schools. It will serve as a foundation for pedagogical and educational leadership practices that foster social justice, equity, and advocacy for those who have been traditionally and historically underserved in education. The handbook's ten sections cover topics as diverse as curriculum, instruction, and educational outcomes; gender, race, and class; higher education; and leadership preparation and support. Its twenty-nine chapters offer both American and international perspectives.

the moral case against equity language: Reports of Cases at Law and in Equity, Argued and Determined in the Supreme Court of the State of Iowa Iowa. Supreme Court, 1892

the moral case against equity language: *The Moral Parameters of Good Talk* Maryann Ayim, 1997 Annotation The author contends that since language is capable of creating harm or good, it should not be exempt from the moral standards we apply to other behaviors--we should strive to talk in morally appropriate ways. Her proposed moral criteria for language are discussed on a theoretical level, where she applies her moral analysis to the major competing theories on the relation of gender and language, and on a practical level, when she examines circumstances where such moral criteria have been applied. Annotation c. by Book News, Inc., Portland, Or.

the moral case against equity language: *Reports of Cases in Law and Equity, Argued and Determined in the Supreme Court of the State of Georgia* Georgia. Supreme Court, 1898

the moral case against equity language: Why I'm No Longer Talking to White People

About Race Reni Eddo-Lodge, 2020-11-12 'Every voice raised against racism chips away at its power. We can't afford to stay silent. This book is an attempt to speak' The book that sparked a national conversation. Exploring everything from eradicated black history to the inextricable link between class and race, Why I'm No Longer Talking to White People About Race is the essential handbook for anyone who wants to understand race relations in Britain today. THE NO.1 SUNDAY TIMES BESTSELLER WINNER OF THE BRITISH BOOK AWARDS NON-FICTION NARRATIVE BOOK OF THE YEAR 2018 FOYLES NON-FICTION BOOK OF THE YEAR BLACKWELL'S NON-FICTION BOOK OF THE YEAR WINNER OF THE JHALAK PRIZE LONGLISTED FOR THE BAILLIE GIFFORD PRIZE FOR NON-FICTION LONGLISTED FOR THE ORWELL PRIZE SHORTLISTED FOR A BOOKS ARE MY BAG READERS AWARD

the moral case against equity language: *The American Law Review* , 1880

the moral case against equity language: *Reports of Cases in Law and Equity, Determined in the Supreme Court of the State of Iowa* Iowa. Supreme Court, 1857

the moral case against equity language: 12 Rules for Life Jordan B. Peterson, 2018-01-16 The #1 Sunday Times and International Bestseller from 'the most influential public intellectual in the Western world right now' (New York Times) What are the most valuable things that everyone should know? Acclaimed clinical psychologist Jordan Peterson has influenced the modern understanding of personality, and now he has become one of the world's most popular public thinkers, with his lectures on topics from the Bible to romantic relationships to mythology drawing tens of millions of viewers. In an era of unprecedented change and polarizing politics, his frank and refreshing message about the value of individual responsibility and ancient wisdom has resonated around the world. In this book, he provides twelve profound and practical principles for how to live a meaningful life, from setting your house in order before criticising others to comparing yourself to who you were yesterday, not someone else today. Happiness is a pointless goal, he shows us. Instead we must search for meaning, not for its own sake, but as a defence against the suffering that is intrinsic to our existence. Drawing on vivid examples from the author's clinical practice and personal life, cutting edge psychology and philosophy, and lessons from humanity's oldest myths and stories, 12 Rules for Life offers a deeply rewarding antidote to the chaos in our lives: eternal truths applied to our modern problems.

the moral case against equity language: *American Law Review* , 1880

the moral case against equity language: *English Reports in Law and Equity* Edmund Hatch Bennett, Chauncey Smith, 1852

the moral case against equity language: *From Apology to Utopia* Martti Koskenniemi, 2006-02-02 This book presents a critical view of international law as an argumentative practice that aims to 'depoliticise' international relations. Drawing from a range of materials, Koskenniemi demonstrates how international law becomes vulnerable to the contrasting criticisms of being either an irrelevant moralist Utopia or a manipulable façade for State interests. He examines the conflicts inherent in international law - sources, sovereignty, 'custom' and 'world order' - and shows how legal discourse about such subjects can be described in terms of a small number of argumentative rules. This book was originally published in English in Finland in 1989 and though it quickly became a classic, it has been out of print for some years. In 2006, Cambridge was proud to reissue this seminal text, together with a freshly written Epilogue in which the author both responds to critiques of the original work, and reflects on the effect and significance of his 'deconstructive' approach today.

the moral case against equity language: *Time and Social Theory* Barbara Adam, 2013-03-01 Time is at the forefront of contemporary scholarly inquiry across the natural sciences and the humanities. Yet the social sciences have remained substantially isolated from time-related concerns. This book argues that time should be a key part of social theory and focuses concern upon issues which have emerged as central to an understanding of today's social world. Through her analysis of time Barbara Adam shows that our contemporary social theories are firmly embedded in Newtonian science and classical dualistic philosophy. She exposes these classical frameworks of thought as inadequate to the task of conceptualizing our contemporary world of standardized time, computers, nuclear power and global telecommunications.

the moral case against equity language: Youth Work Ethics Howard Sercombe, 2010-01-21 What does it mean to practice youth work ethically? How does ethical theory relate to the youth work profession? What are the moral dilemmas confronting youth workers today, and how should practitioners respond? This definitive text on youth work ethics examines these questions and more and should be on the reading lists of all youth work trainees and practitioners. A wide range of topics are covered, including: confidentiality; sexual propriety; dependence and empowerment; equity of provision; interprofessional working; managing dual relationships; working across cultures; working within an agency. Referencing professional codes of ethics in youth work, and the theories underpinning them, Howard Sercombe offers readers a framework for how to think about their

practice ethically. Each chapter includes: -Narrative case studies to provide an insight into real life dilemmas. -Reflective questions and exercises to encourage critical thinking. -Chapter summaries and further reading. Youth Work Ethics is the ideal text for undergraduates and postgraduates studying on youth work, youth studies or youth & community work degrees, as well as youth work practitioners.

the moral case against equity language: Global Health and International Relations Colin McInnes, Kelley Lee, 2013-05-02 The long separation of health and International Relations, as distinct academic fields and policy arenas, has now dramatically changed. Health, concerned with the body, mind and spirit, has traditionally focused on disease and infirmity, whilst International Relations has been dominated by concerns of war, peace and security. Since the 1990s, however, the two fields have increasingly overlapped. How can we explain this shift and what are the implications for the future development of both fields? Colin McInnes and Kelley Lee examine four key intersections between health and International Relations today - foreign policy and health diplomacy, health and the global political economy, global health governance and global health security. The explosion of interest in these subjects has, in large part, been due to real world concerns - disease outbreaks, antibiotic resistance, counterfeit drugs and other risks to human health amid the spread of globalisation. Yet the authors contend that it is also important to understand how global health has been socially constructed, shaped in theory and practice by particular interests and normative frameworks. This groundbreaking book encourages readers to step back from problem-solving to ask how global health is being problematized in the first place, why certain agendas and issue areas are prioritised, and what determines the potential solutions put forth to address them? The palpable struggle to better understand the health risks facing a globalized world, and to strengthen collective action to deal with them effectively, begins - they argue - with a more reflexive and critical approach to this rapidly emerging subject.

the moral case against equity language: Eccentric Orbits John Bloom, 2016-06-07 "Good corporate drama . . . an enlightening narrative of how new communications infrastructures often come about." —The Economist, "A Book of the Year 2016" In the early 1990s, Motorola developed a revolutionary satellite system called Iridium that promised to be its crowning achievement. Its constellation of 66 satellites in polar orbit was a mind-boggling technical accomplishment, surely the future of communication. The only problem was that Iridium the company was a commercial disaster. Only months after launching service, it was \$11 billion in debt, burning through \$100 million a month and crippled by baroque rate plans and agreements that forced calls through Moscow, Beijing, Fucino, Italy, and elsewhere. Bankruptcy was inevitable—the largest to that point in American history. And when no real buyers seemed to materialize, it looked like Iridium would go down as just a "science experiment." That is, until Dan Colussy got a wild idea. Colussy, a former head of Pan-Am now retired and working on his golf game in Palm Beach, heard about Motorola's plans to "de-orbit" the system and decided he would buy Iridium and somehow turn around one of the biggest blunders in the history of business. Impeccably researched and wonderfully told, *Eccentric Orbits* is a rollicking, unforgettable tale of technological achievement, business failure, the military-industrial complex, and one of the greatest deals of all time. "Deep reporting put forward with epic intentions . . . a story that soars and jumps and dives and digresses . . . [A] big, gutsy, exciting book." —The Wall Street Journal, "A Top 10 Nonfiction Book of 2016" "Spellbinding . . . A tireless researcher, Bloom delivers a superlative history . . . A tour de force." —Kirkus Reviews (starred review)

the moral case against equity language: English Reports in Law and Equity Chauncey Smith, 1852

the moral case against equity language: Abortion Politics Ziad Munson, 2018-05-21 Abortion has remained one of the most volatile and polarizing issues in the United States for over four decades. Americans are more divided today than ever over abortion, and this debate colors the political, economic, and social dynamics of the country. This book provides a balanced, clear-eyed overview of the abortion debate, including the perspectives of both the pro-life and pro-choice

movements. It covers the history of the debate from colonial times to the present, the mobilization of mass movements around the issue, the ways it is understood by ordinary Americans, the impact it has had on US political development, and the differences between the abortion conflict in the US and the rest of the world. Throughout these discussions, Ziad Munson demonstrates how the meaning of abortion has shifted to reflect the changing anxieties and cultural divides which it has come to represent. *Abortion Politics* is an invaluable companion for exploring the abortion issue and what it has to say about American society, as well as the dramatic changes in public understanding of women's rights, medicine, religion, and partisanship.

the moral case against equity language: *A Selection of Cases on the English Law of Contract* Finch, 1896

the moral case against equity language: *A Selection Of Cases on The English Law Of Contract* ,

the moral case against equity language: **Handbook of Home Language Maintenance and Development** Andrea C. Schalley, Susana A. Eisenclas, 2020-06-22 Even a cursory look at conference programs and proceedings reveals a burgeoning interest in the field of social and affective factors in home language maintenance and development. To date, however, research on this topic has been published in piecemeal fashion, subsumed under the more general umbrella of 'bilingualism'. Within bilingualism research, there has been an extensive exploration of linguistic and psycholinguistic perspectives on the one hand, and educational practices and outcomes on the other. In comparison, social and affective factors – which lead people to either maintain or shift the language – have been under-researched. This is the first volume that brings together the different strands in research on social and affective factors in home language maintenance and development, ranging from the micro-level (family language policies and practices), to the meso-level (community initiatives) and the macro-level (mainstream educational policies and their implementation). The volume showcases a wide distribution across contexts and populations explored. Contributors from around the world represent different research paradigms and perspectives, providing a rounded overview of the state-of-the-art in this flourishing field.

the moral case against equity language: *The Role of Leadership in Building Inclusive Diversity in Public Relations* Nilanjana Bardhan, Karla Gower, 2022-07-28 This book focuses on the relationship between leadership and diversity, inclusion and equity within the US public relations industry. The authors argue that there is ample research evidence that diversity and inclusion efforts cannot succeed without leadership support that commits to and assumes responsibility and accountability for the structural and cultural changes required. Drawing on literature from three different areas – public relations, diversity and inclusion/equity in US societal and organizational contexts and leadership – the authors build a conceptual framework and model for inclusive leadership in public relations that addresses industry dynamics. The book is timely as a resource for public relations scholars and as a supplementary text for advanced courses in public relations principles, theory, management, leadership and diversity. It also provides practitioners theoretical guidance on how to improve diversity, inclusion and equity in their organizations.

the moral case against equity language: **"A" Standard Dictionary of the English Language Upon Original Plans** Isaac Kaufman Funk, 1893

the moral case against equity language: **Reports of Cases Argued and Adjudged in the Court of Appeals of Maryland** Maryland. Court of Appeals, 1857

the moral case against equity language: **The Emergence of Standard English** John H. Fisher, 2021-11-21 Language scholars have traditionally agreed that the development of the English language was largely unplanned. John H. Fisher challenges this view, demonstrating that the standardization of writing and pronunciation was, and still is, made under the control of political and intellectual forces. In these essays Fisher chronicles his gradual realization that Standard English was not a popular evolution at all but was the direct result of political decisions made by the Lancastrian administrations of Henry IV and Henry V. To achieve standardization and acceptance of the vernacular, these kings turned to their Chancery scribes, who were responsible for writing and

copying legal and royal documents. Chaucer, a relative of the king, began to be labeled by the government as a master of the language, and it was Henry V who inspired the fifteenth-century tradition of citing Chaucer as the maker of English. An even more important link between language development and government practice is the fact that Chaucer himself composed in the English of the Chancery scribes. Fisher discusses the development of Chancery practices, royal involvement in promoting use of the vernacular, Chaucer's use of English, Caxton's use of Chancery Standard, and the nineteenth-century phenomenon of a standard, or received, pronunciation of English. This engaging and clearly written work will change the way scholars understand the development of English and think about the intentional shaping of our language.

the moral case against equity language: Resources in Education , 1998

the moral case against equity language: Braking the Special Interests Dorothy Robyn, 1987 In 1980 Congress voted to eliminate the federal system of protective regulation over the powerful trucking industry, despite fierce opposition. This upset marked a rare example in American politics of diffuse public interests winning out over powerful economic lobbies. In *Braking the Special Interests* Dorothy Robyn draws upon firsthand observations of formal proceedings and behind-the-scenes maneuverings to illuminate the role of political strategy in the landmark trucking battle. Robyn focuses her analysis on four elements of strategy responsible for the deregulator's victory—elements that are essential, she argues, to any successful policy battle against entrenched special interests: the effective use of economic data and analysis to make a strong case for the merits of reform; the formation and management of a diverse lobbying coalition of firms and interest groups; presidential bargaining to gain political leverage; and transition schemes to reduce uncertainty and cushion the blow to losers. Drawing on political and economic theory, *Braking the Special Interests* is an immensely rich and readable study of political strategy and skill, with general insights relevant to current political battles surrounding trade, agriculture, and tax policies. Robyn's interdisciplinary work will be of great value to scholars and practitioners of politics, economics, and public policy.

the moral case against equity language: Official Report of the Debates and Proceedings in the State Convention, Assembled May 4th, 1853, to Revise and Amend the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Massachusetts. Constitutional Convention, 1853

the moral case against equity language: The Value of Living Well Mark LeBar, 2013-05-30 In this book, Mark LeBar develops Virtue Eudaimonism, which brings the philosophy of the ancient Greeks to bear on contemporary problems in metaethics, especially the metaphysics of norms and the nature of practical rationality.

the moral case against equity language: *Reports of Cases Adjudged and Determined in the Court of Chancery of the State of New York: Johnson's Chancery reports, v. 1-5* New York (State). Court of Chancery, 1888

Back to Home: <https://fc1.getfilecloud.com>