

logic and contemporary rhetoric

logic and contemporary rhetoric form the foundation of effective communication, critical thinking, and persuasive argumentation in today's fast-paced world. This article explores the intricate relationship between logic and contemporary rhetoric, showcasing how logical reasoning underpins powerful rhetorical strategies. Readers will discover how modern rhetoric relies on logical structures to convey ideas persuasively, examine the essential elements of argumentation, and learn the practical applications of logic in various fields such as media, law, and education. Throughout, key concepts including logical fallacies, rhetorical devices, and the evolution of rhetoric in the digital age are explored in detail. By integrating logic with contemporary rhetorical techniques, communicators can craft convincing messages, navigate complex discussions, and foster more informed public discourse. Whether you are a student, professional, or simply interested in mastering the art of persuasive communication, this comprehensive guide offers actionable insights and practical tools to enhance your understanding and use of logic and contemporary rhetoric.

- Understanding Logic in Contemporary Rhetoric
- Core Principles of Logic
- Key Elements of Modern Rhetorical Strategies
- Logical Fallacies and Their Impact on Persuasion
- Rhetorical Devices in Contemporary Communication
- Applications of Logic and Rhetoric in Professional Fields
- Logic and Rhetoric in the Digital Age
- Enhancing Critical Thinking with Logic and Rhetoric

Understanding Logic in Contemporary Rhetoric

Logic and contemporary rhetoric are closely intertwined, forming the backbone of persuasive communication. Logic refers to the systematic evaluation of arguments based on principles of validity and soundness, while contemporary rhetoric encompasses the strategies used to influence and engage audiences. The synergy between these disciplines enables speakers and writers to construct compelling arguments that resonate with listeners and readers. In modern contexts, logic is not only used to ensure arguments are coherent but also to support rhetorical techniques that appeal to reason and emotion. The balance of logical structure with persuasive language defines successful communication across various disciplines.

The Role of Logic in Persuasion

Logic provides a framework for constructing rational arguments, helping communicators present ideas clearly and convincingly. By applying logical methods, such as deductive and inductive reasoning, speakers can substantiate their claims and address counterarguments effectively. Contemporary rhetoric leverages these logical foundations to enhance credibility, foster trust, and guide audiences toward desired conclusions. Understanding how logic functions within rhetorical discourse is essential for crafting messages that are both persuasive and intellectually robust.

Core Principles of Logic

The principles of logic are fundamental to effective argumentation and clear communication. Logic focuses on the rules that govern reasoning, ensuring that conclusions follow from premises in a valid and structured manner. These principles are vital for analyzing arguments, identifying weaknesses, and constructing sound positions in debates and discussions.

Types of Logical Reasoning

- **Deductive Reasoning:** Drawing specific conclusions from general premises. If the premises are true, the conclusion is necessarily true.
- **Inductive Reasoning:** Making generalizations based on specific observations. Inductive reasoning leads to probable, but not certain, conclusions.
- **Abductive Reasoning:** Inferring the most likely explanation from incomplete information, commonly used in problem-solving scenarios.

Validity and Soundness in Arguments

An argument is valid if its conclusion logically follows from its premises. Soundness requires that the premises are true and the argument is valid. These criteria help assess the strength of arguments in contemporary rhetoric, where persuasive effectiveness often depends on both logical coherence and factual accuracy.

Key Elements of Modern Rhetorical Strategies

Contemporary rhetoric incorporates a variety of strategies designed to influence, inform, and motivate audiences. By integrating logical structures, rhetorical strategies become more compelling and credible, allowing communicators to navigate complex topics with authority.

Ethos, Pathos, and Logos

- **Ethos:** Establishing the credibility and character of the speaker or writer.
- **Pathos:** Appealing to the emotions and values of the audience.
- **Logos:** Using logical arguments and factual evidence to persuade.

The interplay of ethos, pathos, and logos is central to contemporary rhetoric, with logic serving as the foundation for logos-driven arguments. Effective communicators blend these elements to build trust, evoke emotional responses, and present rational claims.

Structure of Persuasive Arguments

Modern rhetoric emphasizes the importance of clear structure in argumentation. This includes presenting a thesis statement, supporting points with evidence, anticipating counterarguments, and concluding with a strong call to action or summary. Logical organization enhances the impact and clarity of rhetorical messages.

Logical Fallacies and Their Impact on Persuasion

Logical fallacies are errors in reasoning that undermine the validity of arguments. Recognizing and avoiding these pitfalls is crucial in contemporary rhetoric, as fallacious reasoning can weaken persuasion and damage credibility.

Common Logical Fallacies

- **Ad Hominem:** Attacking the person instead of the argument.
- **Straw Man:** Misrepresenting an opponent's position to make it easier to attack.
- **Appeal to Ignorance:** Asserting a claim is true because it has not been proven false.
- **False Dilemma:** Presenting only two options when more exist.
- **Slippery Slope:** Arguing that a minor action will lead to major and oftentimes dire consequences.

Impact of Fallacies on Contemporary Rhetoric

The presence of logical fallacies in rhetoric can erode trust and weaken the persuasiveness of arguments. Skilled communicators identify and refute fallacies, maintaining logical integrity while appealing to their audience. Understanding these errors is vital for evaluating the strength and reliability of arguments in modern discourse.

Rhetorical Devices in Contemporary Communication

Rhetorical devices are techniques that enhance the effectiveness of communication by emphasizing ideas, evoking emotion, and engaging audiences. When combined with logical reasoning, these devices contribute to the persuasive power of contemporary rhetoric.

Popular Rhetorical Devices

- **Metaphor:** Comparing two unrelated things to create vivid imagery.
- **Analogy:** Drawing parallels between similar situations to clarify complex ideas.
- **Repetition:** Reinforcing key points through repeated words or phrases.
- **Rhetorical Questions:** Asking questions to provoke thought rather than elicit answers.
- **Antithesis:** Presenting contrasting ideas in a balanced manner.

Integrating Logic with Rhetorical Devices

Effective communication in contemporary contexts relies on the integration of logical reasoning with rhetorical devices. Logical arguments provide the backbone of persuasive messages, while rhetorical techniques add emphasis and emotional appeal. This combination facilitates clear, convincing, and memorable communication.

Applications of Logic and Rhetoric in Professional Fields

Logic and contemporary rhetoric are essential across numerous professional domains. From legal arguments to media communications and business negotiations, the use of logical and rhetorical techniques can determine success and influence decision-making.

Logic and Rhetoric in Law

Legal professionals depend on logical analysis and persuasive rhetoric to construct compelling cases, interpret statutes, and sway juries. Mastery of logical reasoning and rhetorical strategies ensures that arguments are both credible and convincing in courtrooms and legal documents.

Media and Public Relations

In media and public relations, logic and contemporary rhetoric shape public opinion and manage reputations. Communicators must present information logically while employing rhetorical devices to engage audiences and address sensitive issues effectively.

Education and Academia

Educators utilize logic and rhetoric to foster critical thinking, debate, and scholarly discussion. By teaching students to recognize logical structures and rhetorical techniques, academic institutions prepare individuals to navigate complex information and articulate well-founded positions.

Logic and Rhetoric in the Digital Age

The digital era has transformed the landscape of logic and contemporary rhetoric, introducing new platforms and challenges for communication. Social media, blogs, and online forums require concise, impactful messaging, where logical reasoning and rhetorical skill are more important than ever.

Digital Communication Challenges

- **Information Overload:** Audiences are bombarded with messages, making logical clarity crucial.
- **Misinformation and Fallacies:** Logical errors spread quickly online, emphasizing the need for critical evaluation.
- **Audience Fragmentation:** Diverse audiences require tailored rhetoric grounded in logical analysis.

Opportunities for Enhanced Persuasion

Digital platforms allow for innovative rhetorical strategies, such as interactive content and visual storytelling, supported by logical frameworks. Effective digital communicators leverage data,

analytics, and logical structures to maximize engagement and impact.

Enhancing Critical Thinking with Logic and Rhetoric

Cultivating critical thinking skills involves understanding and applying logic and contemporary rhetoric. These disciplines empower individuals to analyze arguments, identify fallacies, and communicate ideas persuasively in professional and personal contexts.

Building Analytical Skills

- Evaluating evidence and sources for reliability.
- Recognizing logical fallacies and rhetorical manipulation.
- Constructing clear, coherent, and persuasive arguments.

Fostering Informed Discourse

Informed public discourse depends on the widespread understanding of logic and contemporary rhetoric. By promoting these skills, societies can encourage reasoned debate, effective problem-solving, and the responsible exchange of ideas.

Trending Questions and Answers on Logic and Contemporary Rhetoric

Q: What is the relationship between logic and contemporary rhetoric?

A: Logic provides the structure for reasoning, while contemporary rhetoric uses persuasive strategies. Together, they form effective communication by ensuring arguments are both rational and compelling.

Q: How do logical fallacies affect rhetorical persuasion?

A: Logical fallacies undermine the validity of arguments, decreasing credibility and persuasive power. Recognizing and avoiding fallacies is essential for effective rhetoric.

Q: What are the main types of reasoning used in contemporary rhetoric?

A: The main types of reasoning are deductive, inductive, and abductive. Each plays a role in constructing and evaluating arguments in modern rhetorical contexts.

Q: Why is logos important in persuasive communication?

A: Logos appeals to logic and reason, providing factual evidence and rational arguments that support persuasive messages and increase their credibility.

Q: How has the digital age influenced logic and contemporary rhetoric?

A: The digital age has increased the importance of clear, concise, and logically sound messaging while introducing challenges such as misinformation and information overload.

Q: What role do rhetorical devices play in logic-based arguments?

A: Rhetorical devices enhance the impact and memorability of logic-based arguments, making messages more engaging and persuasive.

Q: How can understanding logic improve critical thinking?

A: Understanding logic helps individuals evaluate arguments, identify biases, and avoid being misled by fallacies, thereby strengthening critical thinking skills.

Q: What are some common logical fallacies to watch for in modern discussions?

A: Common logical fallacies include ad hominem, straw man, false dilemma, slippery slope, and appeal to ignorance.

Q: How is contemporary rhetoric applied in professional fields?

A: Contemporary rhetoric is used in law, media, business, and education to persuade, inform, and negotiate by combining logical reasoning with strategic communication techniques.

Q: What strategies can help improve the use of logic in everyday communication?

A: Strategies include practicing critical thinking, learning to identify fallacies, structuring arguments clearly, and supporting claims with credible evidence.

[Logic And Contemporary Rhetoric](#)

Find other PDF articles:

<https://fc1.getfilecloud.com/t5-w-m-e-11/Book?docid=rLY18-6334&title=the-denial-of-death.pdf>

Logic and Contemporary Rhetoric: A Powerful Duo in Persuasion

The art of persuasion has evolved, yet its core principles remain surprisingly consistent. Understanding how logic and contemporary rhetoric intertwine is crucial for anyone aiming to influence audiences - whether in business presentations, political campaigns, or everyday conversations. This comprehensive guide delves into the fascinating relationship between these two disciplines, exploring how they work together to create compelling and effective arguments. We'll uncover the key elements of both logic and modern rhetorical strategies, illustrating their practical application with real-world examples. Prepare to sharpen your persuasive skills and navigate the complexities of communication in today's dynamic world.

Understanding the Foundation: Formal Logic

Before exploring the nuances of contemporary rhetoric, we must first grasp the bedrock of logical reasoning. Formal logic, at its core, is the study of valid reasoning and argumentation. It provides a structured framework for evaluating the truth and validity of statements, ensuring arguments aren't built on fallacies.

Key Components of Formal Logic:

Deductive Reasoning: Moving from general principles to specific conclusions. If all men are mortal (premise 1), and Socrates is a man (premise 2), then Socrates is mortal (conclusion).

Inductive Reasoning: Drawing general conclusions from specific observations. Observing that every swan you've ever seen is white leads to the (potentially flawed) conclusion that all swans are white.

Abductive Reasoning: Inferring the most likely explanation based on available evidence. Finding a wet floor and a spilled glass of water leads to the abduction that the glass caused the spill.

Identifying Fallacies: Recognizing flaws in reasoning, such as straw man arguments, appeals to emotion, or ad hominem attacks, is vital for constructing sound arguments.

Contemporary Rhetoric: Adapting Persuasion for Modern Audiences

While formal logic provides the structural integrity of an argument, contemporary rhetoric offers the stylistic flair and strategic finesse needed to connect with a modern audience. It goes beyond simply stating facts; it's about crafting narratives, appealing to emotions, and understanding the context of communication.

Key Elements of Contemporary Rhetoric:

Ethos (Credibility): Establishing your authority and trustworthiness as a speaker or writer. This involves demonstrating expertise, integrity, and good character.

Pathos (Emotion): Connecting with the audience on an emotional level to evoke empathy, sympathy, or other relevant feelings. Storytelling is a powerful tool for this.

Logos (Logic): This is where formal logic finds its place in rhetoric. Using sound reasoning and evidence to support your claims is essential for building a convincing case.

Kairos (Timing and Context): Understanding the appropriate time and place to deliver your message is crucial. A persuasive argument in one context might fall flat in another.

Narrative Rhetoric: Utilizing storytelling to make arguments more engaging and memorable. Human beings are naturally drawn to narratives, making this a potent tool in rhetoric.

The Synergistic Power of Logic and Contemporary Rhetoric

The most effective persuasion relies on the synergistic interplay of logic and contemporary rhetoric. A purely logical argument, devoid of emotional appeal or narrative, may lack the power to truly resonate with the audience. Conversely, an emotionally charged argument lacking logical support can be easily dismissed as manipulative.

The ideal approach involves a careful balance. Use logic to build a solid foundation for your claims, supported by evidence and sound reasoning. Then, employ rhetorical strategies to enhance the persuasiveness of your message, making it engaging, memorable, and impactful.

Real-World Applications

Consider the impact of a political speech. A successful speech leverages both logic (presenting policy proposals and their potential outcomes) and rhetoric (using emotionally charged language, storytelling, and appealing to shared values). Similarly, a marketing campaign relies on logical arguments about product quality and benefits, while employing persuasive visuals and emotional appeals to connect with consumers.

Conclusion

Mastering the art of persuasion requires a deep understanding of both logic and contemporary rhetoric. By integrating formal logical principles with sophisticated rhetorical strategies, you can craft compelling arguments that resonate with your audience, whether you're advocating for a cause, selling a product, or simply trying to make your point in a conversation. The combination of sound reasoning and strategic communication is the key to unlocking the true power of persuasion.

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

1. What is the difference between deductive and inductive reasoning? Deductive reasoning moves from general principles to specific conclusions, while inductive reasoning moves from specific observations to general conclusions. Deductive arguments aim for certainty, while inductive arguments aim for probability.
2. How can I improve my rhetorical skills? Practice active listening, analyze persuasive speeches and writings, study different rhetorical devices, and seek feedback on your communication style. Consider taking a course in public speaking or rhetoric.
3. Are logical fallacies always intentional? Not necessarily. Sometimes logical fallacies arise from unintentional biases or misunderstandings. However, understanding them is crucial to avoid making them yourself and identifying them in the arguments of others.
4. How can I determine the appropriate Kairos for my message? Consider your audience, the context of the situation, and the overall goals you hope to achieve. Timing and delivery are just as important as the message itself.
5. Can logic and rhetoric be used unethically? Yes, unfortunately, both logic and rhetoric can be used to manipulate or deceive. Ethical communication requires using these tools responsibly and with integrity, ensuring your arguments are truthful and well-supported.

Howard Kahane, 2012

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logic Contemporary Rhetoric Im Kahane, 1995-02-01

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logic and Contemporary Rhetoric Howard Kahane, 1992-01-01

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logic and Contemporary Rhetoric Howard Kahane, Nancy Cavender, 2013-01-01 This classic text has introduced tens of thousands of students to sound reasoning using a wealth of current, relevant, and stimulating examples all put together and explained in a witty and invigorating writing style. Long the choice of instructors who want to keep students engaged, LOGIC AND CONTEMPORARY RHETORIC: THE USE OF REASON IN EVERYDAY LIFE, 12E, International Edition combines examples from television, newspapers, magazines, advertisements, and our nation's political dialogue. The text not only brings the concepts to life for students but also puts critical-thinking skills into a context that students will retain and use throughout their lives.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logic and Contemporary Rhetoric: The Use of Reason in Everyday Life Frank Boardman, Nancy M. Cavender, Howard Kahane, 2017-01-27 LOGIC AND CONTEMPORARY RHETORIC: THE USE OF REASON IN EVERYDAY LIFE, 13th Edition, introduces you to sound reasoning using current, relevant, and stimulating examples in a witty and invigorating writing style. Combining examples from television, newspapers, magazines, advertisements, and our nation's political dialogue, this classic text brings the concepts to life and puts critical-thinking skills into a context that you will retain and use throughout your life. Important Notice: Media content referenced within the product description or the product text may not be available in the ebook version.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logic and Contemporary Rhetoric Howard Kahane, Nancy Cavender, 1998

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logic and Contemporary Rhetoric Howard Kahane, Nancy Cavender, 1998

logic and contemporary rhetoric: The Critical Difference Barbara Johnson, 1985-03 Barbara Johnson investigates the significant and illuminating ways in which both literature and criticism are critically different from what they purport to be. Her subtle and provocative studies of Balzac, Mallarmé, Baudelaire, Apollinaire, Melville, Poe, Barthes, Lacan, Austin, and Derrida take a refreshing new approach to the fundamental questions of meaning, interpretation, and the relationship between literature and criticism. In each of seven essays, a clear, precise, and detailed reading of the rhetoric of one of more literary or critical works reveals the text's fundamental discrepancies, ambiguities, and contradictions. If rhetoric is seen as language's capacity to differ from literal statement, and if to differ can also mean to disagree, then the reading of the rhetoric of literature and theory here is an attempt to capture the logic of a text's own disagreement with itself.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logic and Contemporary Rhetoric Howard Kahane, 1988 This textbook introduces students to logic and contemporary rhetoric, particularly as applied to problems encountered in everyday life as well as social and political issues. Using examples drawn from sources such as television, newspapers, advertisements, and political speeches, Kahane (U. of Maryland) and Cavender (College of Marin) encourage students to think critically about issues such as abortion, diets, drugs, and astrology. A sampling of topics includes deduction and induction, fallacious reasoning, the manipulation of language, evaluating and writing cogent essays, and sources of information. c. Book News Inc.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logic and Aristotle's Rhetoric and Poetics in Medieval Arabic Philosophy Black, 2022-07-04 This book examines a widespread, and often misunderstood, doctrine within the medieval Aristotelian tradition, namely the inclusion of Aristotle's Rhetoric and Poetics within the scope of the Organon. It studies this doctrine, as presented by the Islamic philosophers Al-Fārābī, Avicenna, and Averroes, from a purely philosophical perspective, and argues that the logical construal of the arts of rhetoric and poetics is both interesting and illuminating. The book begins by examining some prevalent misconceptions regarding the logical interpretation of the

Rhetoric and Poetics. Chapter two considers the Greek background of the doctrine, first through an examination of the Aristotelian divisions of the sciences, and then through an examination of the beginnings of the logical classification of the Rhetoric and Poetics among the Greek commentators from the school of Alexandria. The remainder of the work is devoted to a detailed consideration of the Arabic philosophers' development of the doctrine, both their understanding of its general epistemological and logical underpinnings, and their elaboration of the specific logical structures upon which poetical and rhetorical discourse is based. Consideration is also given to the relationship between contemporary philosophical views of rhetoric and poetics, and the views of these medieval authors.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logic and Contemporary Rhetoric, Loose-Leaf Version
Frank Boardman, Nancy M. Cavender, Howard Kahane, 2017-01-09

logic and contemporary rhetoric: The Contemporary Reception of Classical Rhetoric
Kathleen E. Welch, 2013-11-05 Responding to the reassertion of orality in the twentieth century in the form of electronic media such as the telegraph, film, video, computers, and television, this unique volume traces the roots of classical rhetoric in the modern world. Welch begins by changing the current view of classical rhetoric by reinterpreting the existing texts into fluid language contexts -- a change that requires relinquishing the formulaic tradition, acquiring an awareness of translation issues, and constructing a classical rhetoric beginning with the Fifth Century B.C. She continues with a discussion of the adaptability of this material to new language situations, including political, cultural, and linguistic change, providing it with much of its power as well as its longevity. The book concludes that classical rhetoric can readily address any situation since it focuses not only on critical stances toward discourse that already exists, but also presents elaborate theories for the production of new discourse.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Contemporary Perspectives on Rhetoric Sonja K. Foss, Karen A. Foss, Robert Trapp, 2014-04-04 The anniversary edition marks thirty years of offering an indispensable review and analysis of thinkers who have exerted a profound influence on contemporary rhetorical theory: I. A. Richards, Ernesto Grassi, Chaïm Perelman and Lucie Olbrechts-Tyteca, Stephen Toulmin, Richard Weaver, Kenneth Burke, Jürgen Habermas, bell hooks, Jean Baudrillard, and Michel Foucault. The brief biographical sketches locate the theorists in time and place, showing how life experiences influenced perspectives on rhetorical thought. The concise explanations of complex concepts are clear, engaging, insightful, and highly accessible, serving as an excellent primer for reading the major works of these scholars. The critical commentary is carefully chosen to highlight implications and to place the theories within a broader rhetorical context. Each chapter ends with a complete bibliography of works by the theorists.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: The Trivium Sister Miriam Joseph, Marguerite McGlinn, 2006 This book involves understanding the nature and function of language.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Rethinking Rhetorical Theory, Criticism, and Pedagogy Antonio de Velasco, John Angus Campbell, David Henry, 2016-10-01 What distinguishes the study of rhetoric from other pursuits in the liberal arts? From what realms of human existence and expression, of human history, does such study draw its defining character? What, in the end, should be the purposes of rhetorical inquiry? And amid so many competing accounts of discourse, power, and judgment in the contemporary world, how might scholars achieve these purposes through the attitudes and strategies that animate their work? *Rethinking Rhetorical Theory, Criticism, and Pedagogy: The Living Art* of Michael C. Leff offers answers to these questions by introducing the central insights of one of the most innovative and prolific rhetoricians of the twentieth century, Michael C. Leff. This volume charts Leff's decades-long development as a scholar, revealing both the variety of topics and the approach that marked his oeuvre, as well as his long-standing critique of the disciplinary assumptions of classical, Hellenistic, renaissance, modern, and postmodern rhetoric. *Rethinking Rhetorical Theory, Criticism, and Pedagogy* includes a synoptic introduction to the evolution of Leff's thought from his time as a graduate student in the late 1960s to his death in 2010, as well as specific commentary on twenty-four of his most illuminating essays

and lectures.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Acts of Arguing Christopher W. Tindale, 1999-11-04 Approaches recent innovations in argumentation theory from a primarily rhetorical perspective.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Give Them an Argument Ben Burgis, 2019-05-31 'Ben Burgis understands that in order to persuade people to join a political movement, you have to master the techniques of rigorous argumentation. He masterfully exposes the cheap sophistry of right-wing 'philosophy' and shows why there's still a place for logic and reason in political discourse. This is a crucial handbook for those who want to 'crush' and 'destroy' the Ben Shapiros of the world.' Nathan Robinson, Editor, Current Affairs Many serious leftists have learned to distrust talk of logic and logical fallacies, associated with right-wing logicbros. This is a serious mistake. Unlike the neoliberal technocrats, who can point to social problems and tell people trust us, the serious Left must learn how to argue and persuade. In Give Them an Argument, Ben Burgis arms his reader with the essential knowledge of formal logic and informal fallacies.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logical Reasoning Bradley Harris Dowden, 1993 This book is designed to engage students' interest and promote their writing abilities while teaching them to think critically and creatively. Dowden takes an activist stance on critical thinking, asking students to create and revise arguments rather than simply recognizing and criticizing them. His book emphasizes inductive reasoning and the analysis of individual claims in the beginning, leaving deductive arguments for consideration later in the course.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Aristotle's Rhetoric Eugene Garver, 1994 In this major contribution to philosophy and rhetoric, Eugene Garver shows how Aristotle integrates logic and virtue in the Rhetoric. Garver raises and answers a central question: can there be a civic art of rhetoric, an art that forms the character of citizens? By demonstrating the importance of the Rhetoric for understanding current philosophical problems of practical reason, virtue, and character, Garver has written the first work to treat the Rhetoric as philosophy and to connect its themes with parallel problems in Aristotle's Ethics and Politics. This groundbreaking study will help put rhetoric at the center of investigations of practice and practical reason.--Page 4 of cover.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Mastering Logical Fallacies Michael Withey, 2016-06-21 If I have learned anything in ten years of formal debating, it is that arguments are no different: without a good understanding of the rules and tactics, you are likely to do poorly and be beaten.—HENRY ZHANG, President of the Yale Debate Association Your argument is valid and you know it; yet once again you find yourself leaving a debate feeling defeated and embarrassed. The matter is only made worse when you realize that your defeat came at the hands of someone's abuse of logic—and that with the right skills you could have won the argument. The ability to recognize logical fallacies when they occur is an essential life skill. Mastering Logical Fallacies is the clearest, boldest, and most systematic guide to dominating the rules and tactics of successful arguments. This book offers methodical breakdowns of the logical fallacies behind exceedingly common, yet detrimental, argumentative mistakes, and explores them through real life examples of logic-gone-wrong. Designed for those who are ready to gain the upper hand over their opponents, this master class teaches the necessary skills to identify your opponents' misuse of logic and construct effective, arguments that win. With the empowering strategies offered in Mastering Logical Fallacies you'll be able to reveal the slight-of-hand flaws in your challengers' rhetoric, and seize control of the argument with bulletproof logic.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logic and Contemporary Rhetoric Howard Kahane, 1997-08-11 New with this edition, designed for students to enhance their practice with the concepts of sound reasoning and recognition of fallacious arguments using a variety of exercises, both individual and group based.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logic as a Liberal Art R. E. Houser, 2019-12-10 In the twenty-first century there are two ways to study logic. The more recent approach is symbolic logic. The history of teaching logic since World War II, however, casts doubt on the idea that symbolic logic is best for a first logic course. Logic as a Liberal Art is designed as part of a minority approach,

teaching logic in the verbal way, in the student's natural language, the approach invented by Aristotle. On utilitarian grounds alone, this verbal approach is superior for a first course in logic, for the whole range of students. For millennia, this verbal approach to logic was taught in conjunction with grammar and rhetoric, christened the trivium. The decline in teaching grammar and rhetoric in American secondary schools has led Dr. Rollen Edward Houser to develop this book. The first part treats grammar, rhetoric, and the essential nature of logic. Those teachers who look down upon rhetoric are free, of course, to skip those lessons. The treatment of logic itself follows Aristotle's division of the three acts of the mind (Prior Analytics 1.1). Formal logic is then taken up in Aristotle's order, with Parts on the logic of Terms, Propositions, and Arguments. The emphasis in Logic as a Liberal Art is on learning logic through doing problems. Consequently, there are more problems in each lesson than would be found, for example, in many textbooks. In addition, a special effort has been made to have easy, medium, and difficult problems in each Problem Set. In this way the problem sets are designed to offer a challenge to all students, from those most in need of a logic course to the very best students.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Disability Rhetoric Jay Timothy Dolmage, 2014-01-22 Disability Rhetoric is the first book to view rhetorical theory and history through the lens of disability studies. Traditionally, the body has been seen as, at best, a rhetorical distraction; at worst, those whose bodies do not conform to a narrow range of norms are disqualified from speaking. Yet, Dolmage argues that communication has always been obsessed with the meaning of the body and that bodily difference is always highly rhetorical. Following from this rewriting of rhetorical history, he outlines the development of a new theory, affirming the ideas that all communication is embodied, that the body plays a central role in all expression, and that greater attention to a range of bodies is therefore essential to a better understanding of rhetorical histories, theories, and possibilities.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Seductive Reasoning Ellen Rooney, 2016-11-01 Seductive Reasoning takes a provocative look at contemporary Anglo-American literary theory, calling into question the critical consensus on pluralism's nature and its status in literary studies. Drawing on the insights of Marxist and feminist critical theory and on the works of Althusser, Derrida, and Foucault, Rooney reads the pluralist's invitation to join in a dialogue as a seductive gesture. Critics who respond find that they must seek to persuade all of their potential readers. Rooney examines pluralism as a form of logic in the work of E. D. Hirsch, as a form of ethics for Wayne Booth, as a rhetoric of persuasion in the books of Stanley Fish. For Paul de Man, Rooney argues, pluralism was a rhetoric of tropes just as it was, for Fredric Jameson, a form of politics.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Problems in Argument Analysis and Evaluation Trudy Govier, 2019-11-05 No detailed description available for Problems in Argument Analysis and Evaluation.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logic and Philosophy Howard Kahane, Paul Tidman, 1995 The purpose of this book is to provide students with a clear comprehensible introduction to a complete system for sentential and first-order predicate logic, together with coverage of traditional syllogistic logic and other systems of logic.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Pragmatics of Natural Languages M. Bar-Hillel, 2012-12-06 In June 22-27, 1970, an International Working Symposium on Pragmatics of Natural Languages took place in Jerusalem under the auspices of The Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities and the Division of Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science of the International Union of History and Philosophy of Science. Some thirty philosophers, logicians, linguists, and psychologists from Israel, U.S.A., West-Germany, England, Belgium, France, Scotland, and Denmark met in seven formal and a number of informal sessions in order to discuss some of the problems around the use and acquisition of language which in the eyes of an increasing number of scholars have been left under treated in the recent upsurge of interest in theoretical linguistics and philosophy of language. More specifically, during the formal sessions the following topics were discussed: The validity of the syntactics-semantics-pragmatics trichotomy The present state of the competence-performance issue Logic and

linguistics The New Rhetoric Speech acts Language acquisition. The participants in the Symposium distributed among themselves re prints and preprints of relevant material, partly in advance of the meeting, partly at its beginning. Each session was introduced by one or two moderators, and summaries of each day's proceedings were prepared and distributed the next day. The participants were invited to submit papers after the symposium, written under its impact. The eleven essays published here are the result.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Blindness and Insight Paul de Man, 2013-10-28 In *Blindness and Insight*, de Man examines several critics and finds in their writings a gap between their statements about the nature of literature and the results of their practical criticism. Not only are the critics unaware of this gap, says de Man, but their blindness to it often leads to some of their most valuable insights. The central issue of de Man's work is the rhetorical constitution of the text, and this book, with its new introduction by Wlad Godzich and five additional essays by de Man, is meant to challenge readers to a new appreciation of their chosen task as readers of literature. Included in this new edition are the original essays on Binswanger, Poulet, Lukas, Blanchot, the New Critics, and Derrida's 'of Grammatology', as well as five more: 'The Rhetoric of Temporality', 'The Dead-End of Formalist Criticism', 'Heidegger's Exegesis of Holderlin', a review of Bloom's 'Anxiety of Influence, and 'Literature and Language'.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Reason's Dark Champions Christopher W. Tindale, 2012-10-15 A complex and complete picture of the theory, practice, and reception of Sophistic argument Recent decades have witnessed a major restoration of the Sophists' reputation, revising the Platonic and Aristotelian orthodoxies that have dominated the tradition. Still lacking is a full appraisal of the Sophists' strategies of argumentation. Christopher W. Tindale corrects that omission in *Reason's Dark Champions*. Viewing the Sophists as a group linked by shared strategies rather than by common epistemological beliefs, Tindale illustrates that the Sophists engaged in a range of argumentative practices in manners wholly different from the principal ways in which Plato and Aristotle employed reason. By examining extant fifth-century texts and the ways in which Sophistic reasoning is mirrored by historians, playwrights, and philosophers of the classical world, Tindale builds a robust understanding of Sophistic argument with relevance to contemporary studies of rhetoric and communication. Beginning with the reception of the Sophists in their own culture, Tindale explores depictions of the Sophists in Plato's dialogues and the argumentative strategies attributed to them as a means of understanding the threat Sophism posed to Platonic philosophical ambitions of truth seeking. He also considers the nature of the sophistical refutation and its place in the tradition of fallacy. Tindale then turns to textual examples of specific argumentative practices, mapping how Sophists employed the argument from likelihood, reversal arguments, arguments on each side of a position, and commonplace reasoning. What emerges is a complex reappraisal of Sophism that reorients criticism of this mode of argumentation, expands understanding of Sophistic contributions to classical rhetoric, and opens avenues for further scholarship.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Logic as the Question Concerning the Essence of Language,

logic and contemporary rhetoric: *The Rhetoric of Political Leadership* Ofer Feldman, 2020-04-24 This timely book details the theoretical and practical elements of political rhetoric and their effects on the interactions between politicians and the public. Expert contributors explore the issues associated with political rhetoric from a range of disciplinary perspectives, including political science, linguistics, social psychology and communication studies. Chapters examine what makes a speech effective, politicians' use of moral appeals in political advertising, political attacks on social media, and gender and emotion in political discourse.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: *The Lost Cause of Rhetoric* David Metzger, 1995 . Metzger points out that contemporary researchers in rhetoric often assume a definition of rhetoric for the purpose of classification; distinguishing, for instance, among a medieval rhetoric, a feminist rhetoric, or a phenomenological rhetoric. This kind of research, he believes, examines rhetoric in terms of what it was or might be, but not in terms of what it actually is.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: *Peirce's Speculative Grammar* Francesco Bellucci, 2017-11-08 Peirce's *Speculative Grammar: Logic as Semiotics* offers a comprehensive, philologically accurate, and exegetically ambitious developmental account of Peirce's theory of speculative grammar. The book traces the evolution of Peirce's grammatical writings from his early research on the classification of arguments in the 1860s up to the complex semiotic taxonomies elaborated in the first decade of the twentieth century. It will be of interest to academic specialists working on Peirce, the history of American philosophy and pragmatism, the philosophy of language, the history of logic, and semiotics.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: *Cowardice* Chris Walsh, 2014-09-28 A provocative look at how cowardice has been understood from ancient times to the present Coward. It's a grave insult, likely to provoke anger, shame, even violence. But what exactly is cowardice? When terrorists are called cowards, does it mean the same as when the term is applied to soldiers? And what, if anything, does cowardice have to do with the rest of us? Bringing together sources from court-martial cases to literary and film classics such as Dante's *Inferno*, *The Red Badge of Courage*, and *The Thin Red Line*, Cowardice recounts the great harm that both cowards and the fear of seeming cowardly have done, and traces the idea of cowardice's power to its evolutionary roots. But Chris Walsh also shows that this power has faded, most dramatically on the battlefield. Misconduct that earlier might have been punished as cowardice has more recently often been treated medically, as an adverse reaction to trauma, and Walsh explores a parallel therapeutic shift that reaches beyond war, into the realms of politics, crime, philosophy, religion, and love. Yet, as Walsh indicates, the therapeutic has not altogether triumphed—contempt for cowardice endures, and he argues that such contempt can be a good thing. Courage attracts much more of our attention, but rigorously understanding cowardice may be more morally useful, for it requires us to think critically about our duties and our fears, and it helps us to act ethically when fear and duty conflict. Richly illustrated and filled with fascinating stories and insights, Cowardice is the first sustained analysis of a neglected but profound and pervasive feature of human experience.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: *Angels Town* Ralph Cintron, 1998-11-01 As issues of power and social order loom large in Angelstown, Ralph Cintron shows how eruptions on the margins of the community are emblematic of a deeper disorder. In their language and images, the members of a Latino community in a mid-sized American city create self-respect under conditions of disrespect. Cintron's innovative ethnography offers a beautiful portrait of a struggling Mexican-American community and shows how people (including ethnographers) make sense of their lives through cultural forms.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: *Public Forgetting* Bradford Vivian, 2015-10-13 Forgetting is usually juxtaposed with memory as its opposite in a negative way: it is seen as the loss of the ability to remember, or, ironically, as the inevitable process of distortion or dissolution that accompanies attempts to commemorate the past. The civic emphasis on the crucial importance of preserving lessons from the past to prevent us from repeating mistakes that led to violence and injustice, invoked most poignantly in the call of "Never again" from Holocaust survivors, tends to promote a view of forgetting as verging on sin or irresponsibility. In this book, Bradford Vivian hopes to put a much more positive spin on forgetting by elucidating its constitutive role in the formation and transformation of public memory. Using examples ranging from classical rhetoric to contemporary crises like 9/11, *Public Forgetting* demonstrates how, contrary to conventional wisdom, communities may adopt idioms of forgetting in order to create new and beneficial standards of public judgment concerning the lessons and responsibilities of their shared past.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: *A Theory of Contemporary Rhetoric* Richard Andrews, 2013-08-22 *A Theory of Contemporary Rhetoric* describes, explains, and argues the overarching theory of contemporary rhetoric. This current view of rhetoric brings together themes in the communication arts, including political literary criticism; bi- and multi-lingualism; multimodality; framing as an artistic and sociological device for composition and interpretation; literacy in the digital age; and the division between fiction and 'non-fiction' in language/literature studies. Chapters

explore the implications of rhetoric for particular aspects of the field. Discussions throughout the book provide illustrations that ground the material in practice. As an overarching theory in the communication arts, rhetoric is elegant as a theoretical solution and simple as a practical one. It asks such questions as who is speaking/writing/composing? to whom? why? what is being conveyed? and how is it being conveyed? Acknowledging the dearth of recent works addressing the theory of rhetoric, this book aims to fill the existing theoretical gap and at the same time move the field of language/literature studies forward into new territory. It provides the keynote theoretical guide for a generation of teachers, teacher educators and researchers in the fields of English as a subject; English as a second, foreign or additional language; and language study in general.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Introducing Logic and Critical Thinking T. Ryan Byerly, 2017-08-01 This robust, clear, and well-researched textbook for classes in logic introduces students to both formal logic and to the virtues of intellectual inquiry. Part 1 challenges students to develop the analytical skills of deductive and inductive reasoning, showing them how to identify and evaluate arguments. Part 2 helps students develop the intellectual virtues of the wise inquirer. The book includes helpful pedagogical features such as practice exercises and a concluding summary with definitions of key concepts for each chapter. Resources for professors and students are available through Baker Academic's Textbook eSources.

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Hard Thinking John D. Mullen, 1995 Ideally a logic text should encourage not only criticism of thinking, but critical thinking itself. To its great credit, *Hard Thinking* does both. -s Nicholas Rescher, University of Pittsburgh Mullen effectively combines logic, epistemology, and good old fashioned common sense. The dialogues and examples are telling; the explanations clear and to the point. The book articulates, defends, and exemplifies all the important features of 'hard thinking'. Many texts purport to aid in the teaching of thinking; this one will clearly succeed. -s Harvey Siegel, University of Miami Not only does Mullen's text challenge students to do some hard thinking, but it explains why they should and it shows them how....[T]he text includes strong chapters on both traditional and modern formal logic. As a result, this book should prove suitable for a wide variety of courses. -s Bruce Umbaugh, Webster University

logic and contemporary rhetoric: Rhetoric, Modality, Modernity Nancy S. Struever, 2009-11-15 Since antiquity, philosophy and rhetoric have traditionally been cast as rivals, with the former often lauded as a search for logical truth and the latter usually disparaged as empty speech. But in this erudite intellectual history, Nancy S. Struever stakes out a claim for rhetoric as the more productive form of inquiry. Struever views rhetoric through the lens of modality, arguing that rhetoric's guiding interest in what is possible—as opposed to philosophy's concern with what is necessary—makes it an ideal tool for understanding politics. Innovative readings of Hobbes and Vico allow her to reexamine rhetoric's role in the history of modernity and to make fascinating connections between thinkers from the classical, early modern, and modern periods. From there she turns to Walter Benjamin, reclaiming him as an exemplar of modernist rhetoric and a central figure in the long history of the form. Persuasive and perceptive, *Rhetoric, Modality, Modernity* is a novel rewriting of the history of rhetoric and a heady examination of the motives, issues, and flaws of contemporary inquiry.

Back to Home: <https://fc1.getfilecloud.com>