

the solution to the trump judge problem

the solution to the trump judge problem is a topic of growing relevance in American legal and political discourse. With hundreds of federal judges appointed during the Trump administration, concerns have emerged about the lasting effect on judicial decisions, the interpretation of constitutional law, and the overall balance of the courts. This comprehensive article explores the scope of the Trump judge problem, its impact on the judiciary, challenges in addressing it, and actionable solutions to restore trust and impartiality. Key areas covered include judicial reforms, legislative approaches, ethical considerations, and the long-term outlook for federal courts. Readers will gain a deeper understanding of both the problem and the multifaceted strategies proposed for a more balanced and independent judiciary.

- Understanding the Trump Judge Problem
- Impact of Trump-Appointed Judges on the Federal Judiciary
- Challenges in Addressing Judicial Imbalance
- Proposed Solutions to the Trump Judge Problem
- Legislative and Institutional Reforms
- Promoting Judicial Impartiality and Accountability
- Long-Term Outlook for Federal Courts

Understanding the Trump Judge Problem

The Trump judge problem refers to the far-reaching effects of the unprecedented number of federal judges appointed during Donald Trump's presidency. Between 2017 and 2021, the Trump administration, aided by a Republican-controlled Senate, confirmed over 230 federal judges, including three Supreme Court Justices. This reshaped the federal judiciary, emphasizing conservative legal philosophies and altering the balance of key appellate and district courts. The rapid pace and ideological alignment of these appointments have sparked debate regarding judicial independence, impartiality, and the potential for lasting influence on American law.

Origins and Motivations

The Trump administration prioritized judicial appointments as a cornerstone of its legacy. By collaborating closely with legal advocacy groups and Senate leadership, the administration sought to ensure that federal courts would reflect conservative values for generations. The motivation was not only to fill vacancies but to fundamentally shift the ideological leaning of the judiciary.

Public Perception and Criticism

Many legal scholars and political commentators have raised concerns about the selection process for Trump-appointed judges. Critics argue that the process emphasized ideological loyalty over experience or qualifications, resulting in a judiciary perceived as more partisan. While supporters claim these judges uphold constitutional principles, the public remains divided on the legitimacy and neutrality of the current federal bench.

Impact of Trump-Appointed Judges on the Federal Judiciary

Trump-appointed judges now represent a significant portion of the federal bench, particularly in the appellate courts, where their decisions carry nationwide implications. The influence of these judges is evident in rulings on controversial issues such as immigration, healthcare, voting rights, and executive power. The shift has raised questions about the ability of courts to remain impartial arbiters and whether the judiciary is susceptible to politicization.

Key Areas of Influence

- Supreme Court Decisions: Landmark rulings on abortion, gun rights, and administrative power.
- Appellate Courts: Changes in precedent affecting civil rights, environmental regulations, and labor laws.
- District Courts: Influence on trial outcomes and injunctions related to federal policies.

Long-Term Consequences

The long tenure of federal judges means the Trump judge problem is not easily reversed. With lifetime appointments, these judges will continue shaping legal doctrine for decades, affecting the balance of power between government branches and individual rights. This enduring impact highlights the necessity for solutions focused on restoring balance and public trust.

Challenges in Addressing Judicial Imbalance

Efforts to counteract the effects of Trump-appointed judges face significant legal, political, and ethical challenges. The independence of the judiciary is a core constitutional principle, and any attempt to alter the composition of federal courts must navigate complex safeguards designed to prevent undue influence.

Legal Constraints on Reform

Federal judges serve lifetime appointments under Article III of the Constitution, limiting direct intervention. Proposals to address imbalance through court packing or term limits encounter constitutional barriers and political resistance.

Political Polarization

Intense partisan divisions have made bipartisan reform difficult. Attempts to recalibrate the judiciary may be viewed as retaliatory, further undermining trust in the courts and exacerbating polarization.

Proposed Solutions to the Trump Judge Problem

A variety of solutions have been proposed to mitigate the impact of Trump-appointed judges and promote judicial impartiality. These strategies range from structural reforms to process improvements, designed to safeguard the integrity of the judiciary while respecting constitutional boundaries.

Judicial Term Limits

Introducing term limits for federal judges would reduce the long-term influence of any single administration's appointments. While controversial, this solution aims to ensure periodic renewal and reduce partisanship within the courts.

Balanced Appointment Processes

Reforming the judicial nomination and confirmation process to prioritize diversity, merit, and bipartisan input could help restore balance. Establishing independent commissions for vetting candidates may further insulate appointments from political manipulation.

Increasing Court Transparency

Greater transparency in judicial proceedings and decision-making can enhance public trust. Publishing more detailed opinions and making court operations accessible to the public are essential steps toward accountability.

Legislative and Institutional Reforms

Legislative action is a critical component of the solution to the Trump judge problem. Congress holds the authority to enact reforms that modernize the judiciary and ensure its continued impartiality.

Expanding the Federal Bench

Increasing the number of judges in key courts can dilute the disproportionate influence of any one administration's appointments. This approach requires careful consideration to avoid politicization but can help restore equilibrium.

Establishing Ethics Standards

Federal judges are subject to codes of conduct, but enforcement is often inconsistent. Legislative reforms to strengthen ethical standards and oversight mechanisms can deter misconduct and reinforce judicial independence.

Improving Judicial Diversity

Promoting diversity in judicial appointments—including professional background, race, gender, and ideology—enhances the representativeness and legitimacy of the courts. Policies that encourage broader recruitment and selection can help address systemic imbalances.

Promoting Judicial Impartiality and Accountability

Judicial impartiality is the cornerstone of public confidence in the legal system. The solution to the Trump judge problem must include robust measures to ensure judges remain fair, unbiased, and accountable to the Constitution.

Regular Performance Reviews

Instituting regular performance evaluations for federal judges can help maintain high standards and address any emerging concerns regarding impartiality or competence. These reviews should be conducted by independent bodies to avoid political interference.

Strengthening Judicial Training

Ongoing education and professional development for judges are vital for keeping pace with evolving legal standards and societal expectations. Enhanced training programs can help judges interpret laws consistently and fairly.

Public Engagement in Judicial Reform

Engaging the public in discussions about judicial reform increases transparency and democratic participation. Educational initiatives and open forums can foster informed debate and build consensus around solutions.

Long-Term Outlook for Federal Courts

Addressing the Trump judge problem requires sustained commitment to reform, vigilance in protecting judicial independence, and adaptability in response to changing legal landscapes. While no single solution offers an immediate fix, a combination of legislative, institutional, and procedural strategies can gradually restore balance and credibility in the federal judiciary. The ongoing evolution of American courts will depend on the collective efforts of lawmakers, legal professionals, and the public to ensure justice remains impartial and accessible for all.

Q: What is the Trump judge problem?

A: The Trump judge problem refers to concerns about the long-term influence and ideological alignment of federal judges appointed during the Trump administration, which has impacted the balance and impartiality of the judiciary.

Q: Why are Trump-appointed judges controversial?

A: Trump-appointed judges are controversial due to their perceived ideological bias, rapid appointment process, and significant impact on major legal decisions affecting civil rights, healthcare, and more.

Q: What are some proposed solutions to the Trump judge problem?

A: Solutions include judicial term limits, balanced appointment processes, increased court transparency, expanding the federal bench, and strengthening ethics standards for judges.

Q: Can lifetime appointments for federal judges be changed?

A: Changing lifetime appointments would require a constitutional amendment, which is a complex and lengthy process, but term limits are sometimes discussed as a potential reform.

Q: How does judicial diversity address the Trump judge problem?

A: Increasing diversity in judicial appointments helps ensure a broader range of perspectives and reduces the risk of ideological dominance by any single group or administration.

Q: What role does Congress play in solving the Trump judge problem?

A: Congress can enact reforms to expand the federal bench, establish ethics standards, and promote diversity, all of which help restore balance and impartiality to the courts.

Q: What are the challenges to implementing judicial reforms?

A: Challenges include legal constraints, political polarization, and resistance to changes that may be perceived as undermining judicial independence.

Q: How can public engagement contribute to judicial reform?

A: Public engagement fosters transparency, encourages democratic participation, and builds consensus around necessary reforms for the judiciary.

Q: Do Trump-appointed judges have a lasting impact?

A: Yes, because federal judges have lifetime appointments, their decisions and influence can shape legal precedent and interpretations for decades.

Q: What is the importance of judicial impartiality in the solution to the Trump judge problem?

A: Judicial impartiality is essential for maintaining public trust, ensuring fair decisions, and upholding constitutional principles, making it a central goal of any reform efforts.

[The Solution To The Trump Judge Problem](#)

Find other PDF articles:

<https://fc1.getfilecloud.com/t5-w-m-e-09/Book?docid=adh81-1000&title=periodic-table-puzzle-2-answer-key.pdf>

The Solution to the Trump Judge Problem: Navigating Judicial Appointments and Public Trust

The appointment of judges has always been a contentious issue, but the recent surge in politically charged nominations has brought the problem into sharp relief. The so-called "Trump judge problem" – the perception of bias and politicization in judicial selections during the Trump administration – has ignited intense debate, raising concerns about the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary. This post delves into the complexities of this issue, exploring the roots of the problem, analyzing its impact, and proposing potential solutions to restore public trust in the judicial system. We'll examine structural changes, ethical reforms, and shifts in public discourse that could help mitigate the perceived biases and ensure a more equitable and transparent process for judicial appointments.

Understanding the "Trump Judge Problem"

The term "Trump judge" itself is loaded, often implying a lack of impartiality based on perceived political affiliation. The criticism stems from several factors: a perceived prioritization of conservative ideology during judicial selection, concerns about the vetting process, and accusations of prioritizing loyalty over merit. Critics argue that nominees lacked the necessary experience or temperament for the bench, leading to rulings perceived as politically motivated rather than legally sound. This perception, regardless of its factual basis, erodes public confidence in the fairness and objectivity of the judiciary.

The Impact of Perceived Political Bias

The consequences of a perceived lack of judicial impartiality are far-reaching. Public trust in the legal system is fundamental to its effectiveness. When citizens believe that judges are driven by political agendas rather than adherence to the law, it undermines respect for the rule of law. This can lead to increased polarization, decreased faith in democratic institutions, and ultimately, instability. Further, the perception of bias can disproportionately affect certain segments of the population, creating a sense of injustice and inequality.

Potential Solutions: Structural Reforms

Several structural reforms could help alleviate the "Trump judge problem." One key area is reforming the Senate confirmation process. This could involve increasing transparency in the vetting process, ensuring thorough background checks, and demanding a higher standard of judicial experience and temperament. Furthermore, establishing bipartisan commissions to review and recommend judicial candidates could inject greater objectivity into the selection process, lessening the influence of partisan politics. Increased transparency in the judicial selection process and greater public access to the information used in this process would also help create a more informed and less partisan environment.

Promoting Ethical Conduct and Judicial Independence

Beyond structural changes, promoting ethical conduct and judicial independence is crucial. This requires strengthening codes of conduct for judges and establishing robust mechanisms for investigating and addressing allegations of bias or misconduct. Judicial education programs that emphasize impartiality and ethical decision-making could also be beneficial. Furthermore, fostering a culture of judicial accountability ensures that judges are held responsible for their actions and

decisions, reinforcing public trust.

Shifting Public Discourse and Fostering Understanding

The "Trump judge problem" is not merely a legal issue; it's also a matter of public perception and discourse. Encouraging informed and respectful discussions about judicial appointments, emphasizing the importance of an independent judiciary, and promoting media literacy to combat misinformation are vital steps. Educational initiatives aimed at demystifying the judicial process and explaining the role of judges in society can increase public understanding and reduce distrust. Promoting a wider range of viewpoints on judicial selection and fostering open dialogue can help temper overly partisan perspectives.

Conclusion

The "Trump judge problem" highlights the fragility of public trust in institutions. Restoring that trust requires a multi-faceted approach that includes structural reforms, ethical enhancements, and a shift in public discourse. By addressing both the perceived and real concerns surrounding judicial appointments, we can work towards a more impartial, transparent, and ultimately, stronger judicial system that serves all citizens equally. The solutions outlined above represent a starting point, requiring sustained effort and commitment from all stakeholders to ensure a fair and just legal system for generations to come.

FAQs

1. Can we ever truly eliminate political influence in judicial appointments?

Completely eliminating political influence is unlikely. However, significant reforms can minimize its impact, creating a more balanced and merit-based process.

2. What role does the American Bar Association play in the judicial appointment process?

The ABA provides ratings of judicial nominees based on qualifications and experience, but its influence varies and is not binding on the Senate.

3. How can I get involved in promoting judicial reform?

Contact your senators, participate in civic organizations dedicated to judicial reform, and stay informed about judicial appointments and related legislation.

4. Are there similar concerns about judicial appointments in other countries?

Yes, concerns about political influence in judicial appointments are a global issue, though the specifics vary across different legal and political systems.

5. What is the difference between a "judicial activist" and a "strict constructionist"?

These terms represent different judicial philosophies. "Judicial activists" are often perceived as interpreting the law broadly to advance social policy, while "strict constructionists" favor a literal interpretation of the law's text. Both philosophies have legitimate arguments, but their application can be highly debated.

the solution to the trump judge problem: Justice on the Brink Linda Greenhouse, 2021-11-09 The gripping story of the Supreme Court's transformation from a measured institution of law and justice into a highly politicized body dominated by a right-wing supermajority, told through the dramatic lens of its most transformative year, by the Pulitzer Prize-winning law columnist for The New York Times "A dazzling feat . . . meaty, often scintillating and sometimes scary . . . Greenhouse is a virtuoso of SCOTUS analysis."—The Washington Post In *Justice on the Brink*, legendary journalist Linda Greenhouse gives us unique insight into a court under stress, providing the context and brilliant analysis readers of her work in The New York Times have come to expect. In a page-turning narrative, she recounts the twelve months when the court turned its back on its legacy and traditions, abandoning any effort to stay above and separate from politics. With remarkable clarity and deep institutional knowledge, Greenhouse shows the seeds being planted for the court's eventual overturning of *Roe v. Wade*, expansion of access to guns, and unprecedented elevation of religious rights in American society. Both a chronicle and a requiem, *Justice on the Brink* depicts the struggle for the soul of the Supreme Court, and points to the future that awaits all of us.

the solution to the trump judge problem: Open Judicial Politics Rorie Spill Solberg, Jennifer Segal Diascro, Eric Waltenburg, 2020

the solution to the trump judge problem: The Next Justice Christopher L. Eisgruber, 2009-06-07 He describes a new and better manner of deliberating about who should serve on the Court - an approach that puts the burden on nominees to show that their judicial philosophies and politics are acceptable to senators and citizens alike. And he makes a new case for the virtue of judicial moderates.

the solution to the trump judge problem: The Most Dangerous Branch David A. Kaplan, 2018-09-04 In the bestselling tradition of *The Nine* and *The Brethren*, *The Most Dangerous Branch* takes us inside the secret world of the Supreme Court. David A. Kaplan, the former legal affairs editor of *Newsweek*, shows how the justices subvert the role of the other branches of government—and how we've come to accept it at our peril. With the retirement of Justice Anthony Kennedy, the Court has never before been more central in American life. It is the nine justices who too often now decide the controversial issues of our time—from abortion and same-sex marriage, to gun control, campaign finance and voting rights. The Court is so crucial that many voters in 2016 made their choice based on whom they thought their presidential candidate would name to the Court. Donald Trump picked Neil Gorsuch—the key decision of his new administration. Brett Kavanaugh—replacing Kennedy—will be even more important, holding the swing vote over so much social policy. Is that really how democracy is supposed to work? Based on exclusive interviews with the justices and dozens of their law clerks, Kaplan provides fresh details about life behind the scenes at the Court—Clarence Thomas's simmering rage, Antonin Scalia's death, Ruth Bader Ginsburg's celebrity, Breyer Bingo, the petty feuding between Gorsuch and the chief justice, and what John Roberts thinks of his critics. Kaplan presents a sweeping narrative of the justices' aggrandizement of

power over the decades—from *Roe v. Wade* to *Bush v. Gore* to *Citizens United*, to rulings during the 2017-18 term. But the arrogance of the Court isn't partisan: Conservative and liberal justices alike are guilty of overreach. Challenging conventional wisdom about the Court's transcendent power, *The Most Dangerous Branch* is sure to rile both sides of the political aisle.

the solution to the trump judge problem: *The Lawyer-Judge Bias in the American Legal System* Benjamin H. Barton, 2010-12-31 Virtually all American judges are former lawyers. This book argues that these lawyer-judges instinctively favor the legal profession in their decisions and that this bias has far-reaching and deleterious effects on American law. There are many reasons for this bias, some obvious and some subtle. Fundamentally, it occurs because - regardless of political affiliation, race, or gender - every American judge shares a single characteristic: a career as a lawyer. This shared background results in the lawyer-judge bias. The book begins with a theoretical explanation of why judges naturally favor the interests of the legal profession and follows with case law examples from diverse areas, including legal ethics, criminal procedure, constitutional law, torts, evidence, and the business of law. The book closes with a case study of the Enron fiasco, an argument that the lawyer-judge bias has contributed to the overweening complexity of American law, and suggests some possible solutions.

the solution to the trump judge problem: *51 Imperfect Solutions* Judge Jeffrey S. Sutton, 2018-05-07 When we think of constitutional law, we invariably think of the United States Supreme Court and the federal court system. Yet much of our constitutional law is not made at the federal level. In *51 Imperfect Solutions*, U.S. Court of Appeals Judge Jeffrey S. Sutton argues that American Constitutional Law should account for the role of the state courts and state constitutions, together with the federal courts and the federal constitution, in protecting individual liberties. The book tells four stories that arise in four different areas of constitutional law: equal protection; criminal procedure; privacy; and free speech and free exercise of religion. Traditional accounts of these bedrock debates about the relationship of the individual to the state focus on decisions of the United States Supreme Court. But these explanations tell just part of the story. The book corrects this omission by looking at each issue-and some others as well-through the lens of many constitutions, not one constitution; of many courts, not one court; and of all American judges, not federal or state judges. Taken together, the stories reveal a remarkably complex, nuanced, ever-changing federalist system, one that ought to make lawyers and litigants pause before reflexively assuming that the United States Supreme Court alone has all of the answers to the most vexing constitutional questions. If there is a central conviction of the book, it's that an underappreciation of state constitutional law has hurt state and federal law and has undermined the appropriate balance between state and federal courts in protecting individual liberty. In trying to correct this imbalance, the book also offers several ideas for reform.

the solution to the trump judge problem: *Power Wars* Charlie Savage, 2015-11-03 Pulitzer Prize-winning journalist Charlie Savage's penetrating investigation of the Obama presidency and the national security state. Barack Obama campaigned on changing George W. Bush's global war on terror but ended up entrenching extraordinary executive powers, from warrantless surveillance and indefinite detention to military commissions and targeted killings. Then Obama found himself bequeathing those authorities to Donald Trump. How did the United States get here? In *Power Wars*, Charlie Savage reveals high-level national security legal and policy deliberations in a way no one has done before. He tells inside stories of how Obama came to order the drone killing of an American citizen, preside over an unprecedented crackdown on leaks, and keep a then-secret program that logged every American's phone calls. Encompassing the first comprehensive history of NSA surveillance over the past forty years as well as new information about the Osama bin Laden raid, *Power Wars* equips readers to understand the legacy of Bush's and Obama's post-9/11 presidencies in the Trump era.

the solution to the trump judge problem: *Border Wars* Julie Hirschfeld Davis, Michael D. Shear, 2019-10-08 Two New York Times Washington correspondents provide a detailed, "fact-based account of what precipitated some of this administration's more brazen assaults on immigration"

(The Washington Post) filled with never-before-told stories of this key issue of Donald Trump's presidency. No issue matters more to Donald Trump and his administration than restricting immigration. Julie Hirschfeld Davis and Michael D. Shear have covered the Trump administration from its earliest days. In *Border Wars*, they take us inside the White House to document how Stephen Miller and other anti-immigration officials blocked asylum-seekers and refugees, separated families, threatened deportation, and sought to erode the longstanding bipartisan consensus that immigration and immigrants make positive contributions to America. Their revelation of Trump's desire for a border moat filled with alligators made national news. As the authors reveal, Trump has used immigration to stoke fears ("the caravan"), attack Democrats and the courts, and distract from negative news and political difficulties. As he seeks reelection in 2020, Trump has elevated immigration in the imaginations of many Americans into a national crisis. *Border Wars* identifies the players behind Trump's anti-immigration policies, showing how they planned, stumbled and fought their way toward changes that have further polarized the nation. "[Davis and Shear's] exquisitely reported *Border Wars* reveals the shattering horror of the moment, [and] the mercurial unreliability and instability of the president" (The New York Times Book Review).

the solution to the trump judge problem: *A Republic, If You Can Keep It* Neil Gorsuch, 2019-09-10 NEW YORK TIMES BESTSELLER • Justice Neil Gorsuch reflects on his journey to the Supreme Court, the role of the judge under our Constitution, and the vital responsibility of each American to keep our republic strong. As Benjamin Franklin left the Constitutional Convention, he was reportedly asked what kind of government the founders would propose. He replied, "A republic, if you can keep it." In this book, Justice Neil Gorsuch shares personal reflections, speeches, and essays that focus on the remarkable gift the framers left us in the Constitution. Justice Gorsuch draws on his thirty-year career as a lawyer, teacher, judge, and justice to explore essential aspects of our Constitution, its separation of powers, and the liberties it is designed to protect. He discusses the role of the judge in our constitutional order, and why he believes that originalism and textualism are the surest guides to interpreting our nation's founding documents and protecting our freedoms. He explains, too, the importance of affordable access to the courts in realizing the promise of equal justice under law—while highlighting some of the challenges we face on this front today. Along the way, Justice Gorsuch reveals some of the events that have shaped his life and outlook, from his upbringing in Colorado to his Supreme Court confirmation process. And he emphasizes the pivotal roles of civic education, civil discourse, and mutual respect in maintaining a healthy republic. *A Republic, If You Can Keep It* offers compelling insights into Justice Gorsuch's faith in America and its founding documents, his thoughts on our Constitution's design and the judge's place within it, and his beliefs about the responsibility each of us shares to sustain our distinctive republic of, by, and for "We the People."

the solution to the trump judge problem: *From Jim Crow to Civil Rights* Michael J. Klarman, 2004-02-05 A monumental investigation of the Supreme Court's rulings on race, *From Jim Crow To Civil Rights* spells out in compelling detail the political and social context within which the Supreme Court Justices operate and the consequences of their decisions for American race relations. In a highly provocative interpretation of the decision's connection to the civil rights movement, Klarman argues that *Brown* was more important for mobilizing southern white opposition to racial change than for encouraging direct-action protest. *Brown* unquestioningly had a significant impact—it brought race issues to public attention and it mobilized supporters of the ruling. It also, however, energized the opposition. In this authoritative account of constitutional law concerning race, Michael Klarman details, in the richest and most thorough discussion to date, how and whether Supreme Court decisions do, in fact, matter.

the solution to the trump judge problem: *The Chief* Joan Biskupic, 2019-03-26 An incisive biography of the Supreme Court's enigmatic Chief Justice, taking us inside the momentous legal decisions of his tenure so far. John Roberts was named to the Supreme Court in 2005 claiming he would act as a neutral umpire in deciding cases. His critics argue he has been anything but, pointing to his conservative victories on voting rights and campaign finance. Yet he broke from orthodoxy in

his decision to preserve Obamacare. How are we to understand the motives of the most powerful judge in the land? In *The Chief*, award-winning journalist Joan Biskupic contends that Roberts is torn between two, often divergent, priorities: to carry out a conservative agenda, and to protect the Court's image and his place in history. Biskupic shows how Roberts's dual commitments have fostered distrust among his colleagues, with major consequences for the law. Trenchant and authoritative, *The Chief* reveals the making of a justice and the drama on this nation's highest court.

the solution to the trump judge problem: Fake Law The Secret Barrister, 2020-09-03 THE TOP TEN SUNDAY TIMES BESTSELLER 'A powerful polemic' Sunday Times 'A compelling, eye-opening read' Daily Express – Did an illegal immigrant avoid deportation because he had a cat? – Is the law on the side of the burglar who enters your home? – Are unelected judges ‘enemies of the people’? Most of us think the law is only relevant to criminals, if we even think of it at all. But the law touches every area of our lives: from intimate family matters to the biggest issues in our society. Our unfamiliarity is dangerous because it makes us vulnerable to media spin, political lies and the kind of misinformation that frequently comes from loud-mouthed amateurs and those with vested interests. This 'fake law' allows the powerful and the ignorant to corrupt justice without our knowledge – worse, we risk letting them make us complicit. Thankfully, the Secret Barrister is back to reveal the stupidity, malice and incompetence behind many of the biggest legal stories of recent years. In *Fake Law*, the Secret Barrister debunks the lies and builds a defence against the abuse of our law, our rights and our democracy that is as entertaining as it is vital.

the solution to the trump judge problem: Supreme Courtship Christopher Buckley, 2008-09-03 President of the United States Donald Vanderdamp is having a hell of a time getting his nominees appointed to the Supreme Court. After one nominee is rejected for insufficiently appreciating *To Kill A Mockingbird*, the president chooses someone so beloved by voters that the Senate won't have the guts to reject her -- Judge Pepper Cartwright, the star of the nation's most popular reality show, *Courtroom Six*. Will Pepper, a straight-talking Texan, survive a confirmation battle in the Senate? Will becoming one of the most powerful women in the world ruin her love life? And even if she can make it to the Supreme Court, how will she get along with her eight highly skeptical colleagues, including a floundering Chief Justice who, after legalizing gay marriage, learns that his wife has left him for another woman. Soon, Pepper finds herself in the middle of a constitutional crisis, a presidential reelection campaign that the president is determined to lose, and oral arguments of a romantic nature. *Supreme Courtship* is another classic Christopher Buckley comedy about the Washington institutions most deserving of ridicule.

the solution to the trump judge problem: Amusing Ourselves to Death Neil Postman, 2005-12-27 What happens when media and politics become forms of entertainment? As our world begins to look more and more like Orwell's 1984, Neil's Postman's essential guide to the modern media is more relevant than ever. It's unlikely that Trump has ever read *Amusing Ourselves to Death*, but his ascent would not have surprised Postman.” -CNN Originally published in 1985, Neil Postman’s groundbreaking polemic about the corrosive effects of television on our politics and public discourse has been hailed as a twenty-first-century book published in the twentieth century. Now, with television joined by more sophisticated electronic media—from the Internet to cell phones to DVDs—it has taken on even greater significance. *Amusing Ourselves to Death* is a prophetic look at what happens when politics, journalism, education, and even religion become subject to the demands of entertainment. It is also a blueprint for regaining control of our media, so that they can serve our highest goals. “A brilliant, powerful, and important book. This is an indictment that Postman has laid down and, so far as I can see, an irrefutable one.” -Jonathan Yardley, *The Washington Post Book World*

the solution to the trump judge problem: The Problematics of Moral and Legal Theory Richard A. Posner, 2009-06-01 Ambitious legal thinkers have become mesmerized by moral philosophy, believing that great figures in the philosophical tradition hold the keys to understanding and improving law and justice and even to resolving the most contentious issues of constitutional law. They are wrong, contends Richard Posner in this book. Posner characterizes the current

preoccupation with moral and constitutional theory as the latest form of legal mystification--an evasion of the real need of American law, which is for a greater understanding of the social, economic, and political facts out of which great legal controversies arise. In pursuit of that understanding, Posner advocates a rebuilding of the law on the pragmatic basis of open-minded and systematic empirical inquiry and the rejection of cant and nostalgia--the true professionalism foreseen by Oliver Wendell Holmes a century ago. A bracing book that pulls no punches and leaves no pieties unpunctured or sacred cows unkicked, *The Problematics of Moral and Legal Theory* offers a sweeping tour of the current scene in legal studies--and a hopeful prospect for its future.

the solution to the trump judge problem: *On the Road in Trump's America* Daniel Allott, 2020-09-25 An essential part of a journalist's responsibility is to listen, observe, ask good questions, and then listen some more. For too long, too few journalists have taken this responsibility seriously. This has been particularly true in the Trump era. Most political journalists failed to anticipate Donald Trump's rise because they are utterly unable to understand his appeal. From the start, they treated Trumpism as a pathology. They dismissed his voters as being guided by bigotry, ignorance, and fear. Needless to say, this has skewed their coverage. Worst of all, no one seems to have learned anything. The media malpractice that characterized the 2016 presidential campaign has arguably become even worse during the Trump presidency. Most of the media have remained unwilling or unable to understand and objectively report on the people and places that put Trump in the White House. When reporters do venture into "Trump's America," they typically parachute in for only a few hours in search of evidence to confirm their pre-written narratives. Daniel Allott decided to take a different approach. In the spring of 2017, he left his position at a Washington, D.C. political magazine and began reporting from across the country. He spent much of the following three years living in and reporting from nine counties that were crucial to understanding the 2016 election; they will be equally crucial to determining who will win in 2020. This book is not just a study of Trump voters. Allott spoke with as many people as he could regardless of their politics; farmers and professors; congressmen and homeless people; refugees and drug addicts; students and retirees; progressives, conservatives, and people with no discernible or consistent political ideology. His one preference was for "switchers" — people who voted one way in 2016 and have subsequently changed their minds ahead of the 2020 election. Allot discovered that these voters are like an endangered species in Trump's America. Allott's goal wasn't simply to learn why people had voted the way they did in 2016, or to predict how they might vote in 2020. It was also to chart how their lives and circumstances changed over the course of Trump's first term in office, and how the values and priorities that inform their political views might have changed. The accounts will challenge preconceived ideas about who the people in these places are, what motivates their decisions, and what animates their lives.

the solution to the trump judge problem: *Frankly, We Did Win This Election* Michael C. Bender, 2021-07-13 THE INSTANT NEW YORK TIMES BESTSELLER! Michael C. Bender, senior White House reporter for the Wall Street Journal, presents a deeply reported account of the 2020 presidential campaign that details how Donald J. Trump became the first incumbent in three decades to lose reelection—and the only one whose defeat culminated in a violent insurrection. Beginning with President Trump's first impeachment and ending with his second, *FRANKLY, WE DID WIN THIS ELECTION* chronicles the inside-the-room deliberations between Trump and his campaign team as they opened 2020 with a sleek political operation built to harness a surge of momentum from a bullish economy, a unified Republican Party, and a string of domestic and foreign policy successes—only to watch everything unravel when fortunes suddenly turned. With first-rate sourcing cultivated from five years of covering Trump in the White House and both of his campaigns, Bender brings readers inside the Oval Office, aboard Air Force One, and into the front row of the movement's signature mega-rallies for the story of an epic election-year convergence of COVID, economic collapse, and civil rights upheaval—and an unorthodox president's attempt to battle it all. Fresh interviews with Trump, key campaign advisers, and senior administration officials are paired with an exclusive collection of internal campaign memos, emails, and text messages for scores of

never-before-reported details about the campaign. **FRANKLY, WE DID WIN THIS ELECTION** is the inside story of how Trump lost, and the definitive account of his final year in office that draws a straight line from the president's repeated insistence that he would never lose to the deadly storming of the U.S. Capitol that imperiled one of his most loyal lieutenants—his own vice president.

the solution to the trump judge problem: The Agenda Ian Millhiser, 2021-03-30 From 2011, when Republicans gained control of the House of Representatives, until the present, Congress enacted hardly any major legislation outside of the tax law President Trump signed in 2017. In the same period, the Supreme Court dismantled much of America's campaign finance law, severely weakened the Voting Rights Act, permitted states to opt-out of the Affordable Care Act's Medicaid expansion, weakened laws protecting against age discrimination and sexual and racial harassment, and held that every state must permit same-sex couples to marry. This powerful unelected body, now controlled by six very conservative Republicans, has and will become the locus of policymaking in the United States. Ian Millhiser, Vox's Supreme Court correspondent, tells the story of what those six justices are likely to do with their power. It is true that the right to abortion is in its final days, as is affirmative action. But Millhiser shows that it is in the most arcane decisions that the Court will fundamentally reshape America, transforming it into something far less democratic, by attacking voting rights, dismantling and vetoing the federal administrative state, ignoring the separation of church and state, and putting corporations above the law. The Agenda exposes a radically altered Supreme Court whose powers extend far beyond transforming any individual right—its agenda is to shape the very nature of America's government, redefining who gets to have legal rights, who is beyond the reach of the law, and who chooses the people who make our laws.

the solution to the trump judge problem: The Dangerous Case of Donald Trump Bandy X. Lee, 2019-03-19 As this bestseller predicted, Trump has only grown more erratic and dangerous as the pressures on him mount. This new edition includes new essays bringing the book up to date—because this is still not normal. Originally released in fall 2017, *The Dangerous Case of Donald Trump* was a runaway bestseller. Alarmed Americans and international onlookers wanted to know: What is wrong with him? That question still plagues us. The Trump administration has proven as chaotic and destructive as its opponents feared, and the man at the center of it all remains a cipher. Constrained by the APA's "Goldwater rule," which inhibits mental health professionals from diagnosing public figures they have not personally examined, many of those qualified to weigh in on the issue have shied away from discussing it at all. The public has thus been left to wonder whether he is mad, bad, or both. The prestigious mental health experts who have contributed to the revised and updated version of *The Dangerous Case of Donald Trump* argue that their moral and civic duty to warn supersedes professional neutrality. Whatever affects him, affects the nation: From the trauma people have experienced under the Trump administration to the cult-like characteristics of his followers, he has created unprecedented mental health consequences across our nation and beyond. With eight new essays (about one hundred pages of new material), this edition will cover the dangerous ramifications of Trump's unnatural state. It's not all in our heads. It's in his.

the solution to the trump judge problem: In Defense of Judicial Elections Chris W. Bonneau, Melinda Gann Hall, 2009-06-02 Ought judges be independent of democratic pressures, or should they be subjected to the preferences and approval of the electorate? In this book, Bonneau and Hall use empirical data to shed light on these normative questions and offer a coherent defense of judicial elections.

the solution to the trump judge problem: United States Attorneys' Manual United States. Department of Justice, 1985

the solution to the trump judge problem: Unfair Adam Benforado, 2015 A legal scholar exposes the psychological forces that undermine the American criminal justice system, arguing that unless hidden biases are addressed, social inequality will widen, and proposes reforms to prevent injustice and help achieve true equality before the law.

the solution to the trump judge problem: Too Much and Never Enough Mary L. Trump, 2022-01-04 In this revelatory, authoritative portrait of Donald J. Trump and the toxic family that

made him, Mary L. Trump, a trained clinical psychologist and Donald's only niece, shines a bright light on the dark history of their family in order to explain how her uncle became the man who now threatens the world's health, economic security, and social fabric. Mary Trump spent much of her childhood in her grandparents' large, imposing house in the heart of Queens, New York, where Donald and his four siblings grew up. She describes a nightmare of traumas, destructive relationships, and a tragic combination of neglect and abuse. She explains how specific events and general family patterns created the damaged man who occupied the Oval Office, including the strange and harmful relationship between Fred Trump and his two oldest sons, Fred Jr. and Donald. A firsthand witness to countless holiday meals and interactions, Mary brings an incisive wit and unexpected humor to sometimes grim, often confounding family events. She recounts in unsparing detail everything from her uncle Donald's place in the family spotlight and Ivana's penchant for regifting to her grandmother's frequent injuries and illnesses and the appalling way Donald, Fred Trump's favorite son, dismissed and derided him when he began to succumb to Alzheimer's. Numerous pundits, armchair psychologists, and journalists have sought to parse Donald J. Trump's lethal flaws. Mary L. Trump has the education, insight, and intimate familiarity needed to reveal what makes Donald, and the rest of her clan, tick. She alone can recount this fascinating, unnerving saga, not just because of her insider's perspective but also because she is the only Trump willing to tell the truth about one of the world's most powerful and dysfunctional families.

the solution to the trump judge problem: *Where Law Ends* Andrew Weissmann, 2020 In the first and only inside account of the Mueller investigation, one of the special counsel's most trusted prosecutors breaks his silence on the team's history-making search for the truth, their painstaking deliberations and costly mistakes, and Trump's unprecedented efforts to stifle their report. -- Amazon.com.

the solution to the trump judge problem: *Plutocrats United* Richard L. Hasen, 2016-01-12 Campaign financing is one of today's most divisive political issues. The left asserts that the electoral process is rife with corruption. The right protests that the real aim of campaign limits is to suppress political activity and protect incumbents. Meanwhile, money flows freely on both sides. In *Plutocrats United*, Richard Hasen argues that both left and right avoid the key issue of the new Citizens United era: balancing political inequality with free speech. The Supreme Court has long held that corruption and its appearance are the only reasons to constitutionally restrict campaign funds. Progressives often agree but have a much broader view of corruption. Hasen argues for a new focus and way forward: if the government is to ensure robust political debate, the Supreme Court should allow limits on money in politics to prevent those with great economic power from distorting the political process.

the solution to the trump judge problem: *The Justice of Contradictions* Richard L. Hasen, 2018-03-20 An eye-opening look at the influential Supreme Court justice who disrupted American jurisprudence in order to delegitimize opponents and establish a conservative legal order

the solution to the trump judge problem: *Trump Revealed* Michael Kranish, Marc Fisher, 2016-08-23 A comprehensive biography of Donald Trump, the Republican front-runner in the presidential election campaign. *Trump Revealed* will be reported by a team of award-winning Washington Post journalists and co-authored by investigative political reporter Michael Kranish and senior editor Marc Fisher. *Trump Revealed* will offer the most thorough and wide-ranging examination of Donald Trump's public and private lives to date, from his upbringing in Queens and formative years at the New York Military Academy, to his turbulent careers in real estate and entertainment, to his astonishing rise as the front-runner for the Republican presidential nomination. The book will be based on the investigative reporting of more than two dozen Washington Post reporters and researchers who will leverage their expertise in politics, business, legal affairs, sports, and other areas. The effort will be guided by a team of editors headed by Executive Editor Martin Baron, who joined the newspaper in 2013 after his successful tenure running *The Boston Globe*, which included the "Spotlight" team's investigation of sexual abuse in the Catholic Church.

the solution to the trump judge problem: *How Rights Went Wrong* Jamal Greene, 2021 An eminent constitutional scholar reveals how our approach to rights is dividing America, and shows how we can build a better system of justice.

the solution to the trump judge problem: The Trump White House Ronald Kessler, 2018-04-03 The unvarnished and unbiased inside story of President Donald Trump and his White House by New York Times bestselling author Ronald Kessler Based on exclusive interviews with the president and his staff, *The Trump White House: Changing the Rules of the Game* tells the real story of what Donald Trump is like, who influences him, how he makes decisions, what he says about the people around him, and how he operates when the television lights go off, while portraying the inside story of the successes that have already brought solid results as well as the stumbles that have turned off even longtime supporters and undercut his agenda. *The Trump White House* reveals:

- Trump aides Ivanka Trump and her husband Jared Kushner have been responsible for Trump's most disastrous decisions. Trump is aware that his daughter and son-in-law are problems and has hinted to them that they should go back to New York. Seeing Jared on TV, Trump said, "Look at Jared, he looks like a little boy, like a child."
- First Lady Melania Trump has a tremendous impact on policy and strategy. She sits in on meetings and is widely admired by aides for her judgment.
- Kellyanne Conway is the No. 1 White House leaker.
- Trump's Secret Service Director Randolph "Tex" Alles proposed withdrawing protection from some Trump family members and aides to save money. Horrified White House staffers shot down the idea.
- Trump has told friends that billionaires are constantly asking him to fix them up with longtime Communications Director Hope Hicks, a former model, but he says he refuses.
- Trump calls certain reporters directly, feeding them stories attributed to "a senior White House official," creating the impression that the White House leaks even more than it already does. Never before has an American president had so much impact on the country and the world in so short a time as Donald Trump. Yet no president has stirred so much controversy, dominating media coverage and conversation both pro and con. Months after Trump took office, consumer confidence hit a seventeen-year high, unemployment plummeted to the lowest level in seventeen years, and the stock market zoomed to repeated record highs. At the same time, ISIS was nearly defeated, Arab countries banded together to stop financing terrorists and promoting radical Islamic ideology, and Trump's decision to send missiles into Syria because of its use of chemical weapons and his strident warnings to North Korean leader Kim Jong-un made it clear to adversaries that they take on the United States at their peril. Yet for all the media coverage, Trump remains a cipher. Ronald Kessler has known Trump and First Lady Melania Trump for two decades and understands him better than any other journalist. The book includes an exclusive interview with Trump, the only interview he says he has given or will give for a book as president. Crammed with media-grabbing revelations. *The Trump White House* is the unvarnished and unbiased inside story that answers the question: Who is Donald Trump?

the solution to the trump judge problem: The Federal Courts Robert A. Carp, Ronald Stidham, 1985 A textbook for elementary courses on such topics as judicial process and behavior, constitutional law, American government, and law and society. Carp (political science, U. of Houston) and Stidham (political science and criminal justice, Appalachian State U.) update the 1998 edition to include a final view of the Clinton administration's impact on the federal judiciary, and to speculate on that of the new administration. c. Book News Inc.

the solution to the trump judge problem: *Model Code of Judicial Conduct* American Bar Association, Center for Professional Responsibility (American Bar Association), 2007

the solution to the trump judge problem: **Hamilton and the Law** Lisa A. Tucker, 2020-10-15 Since its Broadway debut, *Hamilton: An American Musical* has infused itself into the American experience: who shapes it, who owns it, who can rap it best. Lawyers and legal scholars, recognizing the way the musical speaks to some of our most complicated constitutional issues, have embraced Alexander Hamilton as the trendiest historical face in American civics. *Hamilton and the Law* offers a revealing look into the legal community's response to the musical, which continues to resonate in a country still deeply divided about the reach of the law. A star-powered cast of legal

minds—from two former U.S. solicitors general to leading commentators on culture and society—contribute brief and engaging magazine-style articles to this lively book. Intellectual property scholars share their thoughts on Hamilton's inventive use of other sources, while family law scholars explore domestic violence. Critical race experts consider how Hamilton furthers our understanding of law and race, while authorities on the Second Amendment discuss the language of the Constitution's most contested passage. Legal scholars moonlighting as musicians discuss how the musical lifts history and law out of dusty archives and onto the public stage. This collection of minds, inspired by the phenomenon of the musical and the Constitutional Convention of 1787, urges us to heed Lin-Manuel Miranda and the Founding Fathers and to create something new, daring, and different.

the solution to the trump judge problem: Comparative Constitutional Law Tom Ginsburg, Rosalind Dixon, 2011-01-01 This landmark volume of specially commissioned, original contributions by top international scholars organizes the issues and controversies of the rich and rapidly maturing field of comparative constitutional law. Divided into sections on constitutional design and redesign, identity, structure, individual rights and state duties, courts and constitutional interpretation, this comprehensive volume covers over 100 countries as well as a range of approaches to the boundaries of constitutional law. While some chapters reference the text of legal instruments expressly labeled constitutional, others focus on the idea of entrenchment or take a more functional approach. Challenging the current boundaries of the field, the contributors offer diverse perspectives - cultural, historical and institutional - as well as suggestions for future research. A unique and enlightening volume, Comparative Constitutional Law is an essential resource for students and scholars of the subject.

the solution to the trump judge problem: Moral Politics George Lakoff, 2016-09-05 An updated third edition of the modern classic that applies cognitive science to the world of politics—to explain how our unconscious views shape our votes. When Moral Politics was first published, it redefined how Americans think and talk about politics through the lens of cognitive political psychology. Today, George Lakoff's classic text has become all the more relevant, as liberals and conservatives have come to hold even more vigorously opposed views of the world, with the underlying assumptions of their respective worldviews at the level of basic morality. Even more so than when Lakoff wrote, liberals and conservatives simply have very different, deeply held beliefs about what is right and wrong. Lakoff reveals radically different but remarkably consistent conceptions of morality on both the left and right. Moral worldviews, like most deep ways of understanding the world, are unconscious—part of our hard-wired brain circuitry. When confronted with facts that don't fit our moral worldview, our brains work automatically and unconsciously to ignore or reject these facts, and it takes extraordinary openness and awareness of this phenomenon to pay critical attention to the countless facts we're presented with each day. For this edition, Lakoff has added a new preface and afterword, extending his observations to various ideological conflicts since the book's original publication, from the Affordable Care Act to the Iraq and Afghanistan wars, the 2008 financial crisis, and the effects of global warming. One might have hoped such massive changes and challenges would bring people together, but the reverse has actually happened; the divide between liberals and conservatives has become stronger and more virulent. To have any hope of bringing mutual respect to the current social and political divide, we need to clearly understand the problem and make it part of our contemporary public discourse. Moral Politics offers a much-needed wake-up call to both the left and the right. "An intelligent take on the way politics is conducted in America." —Publishers Weekly "That conservatives and liberals see the world differently comes as no news to most, but Lakoff's look into just why that should be so makes for interesting reading." —Kirkus Reviews

the solution to the trump judge problem: The Cruelty Is the Point Adam Serwer, 2021-06-29 NEW YORK TIMES BESTSELLER • From an award-winning journalist at The Atlantic, these searing essays make a powerful case that "real hope lies not in a sunny nostalgia for American greatness but in seeing this history plain—in all of its brutality, unadorned by euphemism" (The New

York Times). NAMED ONE OF THE BEST BOOKS OF THE YEAR BY NPR • “No writer better demonstrates how American dreams are so often sabotaged by American history. Adam Serwer is essential.”—Ta-Nehisi Coates To many, our most shocking political crises appear unprecedented—un-American, even. But they are not, writes The Atlantic’s Adam Serwer in this prescient essay collection, which dissects the most devastating moments in recent memory to reveal deeply entrenched dynamics, patterns as old as the country itself. The January 6 insurrection, anti-immigrant sentiment, and American authoritarianism all have historic roots that explain their continued power with or without President Donald Trump—a fact borne out by what has happened since his departure from the White House. Serwer argues that Trump is not the cause, he is a symptom. Serwer’s phrase “the cruelty is the point” became among the most-used descriptions of Trump’s era, but as this book demonstrates, it resonates across centuries. The essays here combine revelatory reporting, searing analysis, and a clarity that’s bracing. In this new, expanded version of his bestselling debut, Serwer elegantly dissects white supremacy’s profound influence on our political system, looking at the persistence of the Lost Cause, the past and present of police unions, the mythology of migration, and the many faces of anti-Semitism. In so doing, he offers abundant proof that our past is present and demonstrates the devastating costs of continuing to pretend it’s not. *The Cruelty Is the Point* dares us, the reader, to not look away.

the solution to the trump judge problem: Dress Codes Richard Thompson Ford, 2022-01-18 A law professor and cultural critic offers an eye-opening exploration of the laws of fashion throughout history, from the middle ages to the present day, examining the canons, mores and customs of clothing rules that we often take for granted

the solution to the trump judge problem: Rigged Mollie Hemingway, 2021-10-12 FROM THE AUTHOR OF THE #1 NATIONAL BESTSELLER JUSTICE ON TRIAL Stunned by the turbulence of the 2020 election, millions of Americans are asking the forbidden question: what really happened? It was a devastating triple punch. Capping their four-year campaign to destroy the Trump presidency, the media portrayed a Democratic victory as necessary and inevitable. Big Tech, wielding unprecedented powers, vaporized dissent and erased damning reports about the Biden family's corruption. And Democratic operatives, exploiting a public health crisis, shamelessly manipulated the voting process itself. Silenced and subjected, the American people lost their faith in the system. *RIGGED* is the definitive account of the 2020 election. Based on Mollie Hemingway's exclusive interviews with campaign officials, reporters, Supreme Court justices, and President Trump himself, it exposes the fraud and cynicism behind the Democrats' historic power-grab. Rewriting history is a specialty of the radical left, now in control of America's political and cultural heights. But they will have to contend with the determination, insight, and eloquence of Mollie Hemingway. *RIGGED* is a reminder for weary patriots that truth is still the most powerful weapon. The stakes for our democracy have never been higher.

the solution to the trump judge problem: What Happened Hillary Rodham Clinton, 2017-09-12 “An engaging, beautifully synthesized page-turner” (Slate). The #1 New York Times bestseller and Time #1 Nonfiction Book of the Year: Hillary Rodham Clinton’s most personal memoir yet, about the 2016 presidential election. In this “candid and blackly funny” (The New York Times) memoir, Hillary Rodham Clinton reveals what she was thinking and feeling during one of the most controversial and unpredictable presidential elections in history. She takes us inside the intense personal experience of becoming the first woman nominated for president by a major party in an election marked by rage, sexism, exhilarating highs and infuriating lows, stranger-than-fiction twists, Russian interference, and an opponent who broke all the rules. “At her most emotionally raw” (People), Hillary describes what it was like to run against Donald Trump, the mistakes she made, how she has coped with a shocking and devastating loss, and how she found the strength to pick herself back up afterward. She tells readers what it took to get back on her feet—the rituals, relationships, and reading that got her through, and what the experience has taught her about life. In this “feminist manifesto” (The New York Times), she speaks to the challenges of being a strong woman in the public eye, the criticism over her voice, age, and appearance, and the double standard

confronting women in politics. Offering a “bracing... guide to our political arena” (The Washington Post), *What Happened* lays out how the 2016 election was marked by an unprecedented assault on our democracy by a foreign adversary. By analyzing the evidence and connecting the dots, Hillary shows just how dangerous the forces are that shaped the outcome, and why Americans need to understand them to protect our values and our democracy in the future. The election of 2016 was unprecedented and historic. *What Happened* is the story of that campaign, now with a new epilogue showing how Hillary grappled with many of her worst fears coming true in the Trump Era, while finding new hope in a surge of civic activism, women running for office, and young people marching in the streets.

the solution to the trump judge problem: *In Deep: The FBI, the CIA, and the Truth about America's "Deep State"* David Rohde, 2020-04-21 Revised and updated One of today's most respected journalists, David Rohde takes on one of the country's most toxic conspiracy theories, presenting a scrupulously reported and even-handed account of how power and intelligence are exploited in Washington that “goes deep indeed inside America's security state, telling a story that will surprise readers of all political persuasions” (Jane Mayer, author of *Dark Money*). Donald Trump blamed his 2020 defeat on Democrats and the “deep state”—a supposed secret cabal of Washington insiders that relentlessly encroaches on the individual rights of Americans—for stealing the election and undermining his presidency. Most Americans who supported him agreed. Americans on the left increasingly fear the “military-industrial complex,” a faction of generals and defense contractors who they believe routinely push the country into endless wars. But does the American “deep state” really exist? This question is fundamental to preserving the legitimacy of American democracy, as frustration with and distrust for the government continue to grow. *In Deep* seeks to dispel these pernicious myths through an examination of the FBI, CIA, and Justice Department scandals of the past fifty years from the Church Committee's exposure of Cold War abuses to the claims and counterclaims of the Trump era and the relentless spread of conspiracy theories online and on air. It exposes the misconduct of Attorney General William Barr; how distrust of the “deep state” undermined the US government response to the COVID-19 pandemic; and the growing discord sowed by the explosion of false information online. It investigates Trump's quest to discredit government experts, the legislative and judicial branches, and the results of the 2020 election and assume authoritarian power for himself. “The idea of the deep state, Rohde writes, is inextricably linked to a particular view of presidential power” (Dina Temple-Raston, *Washington Post*). Based on dozens of interviews with career CIA operatives and FBI agents, “*In Deep* is a wholly satisfying read and a necessary one for anyone wanting to understand the forces at play in our government today” (Andrea Bernstein, Peabody Award-winning cohost of the *Trump, Inc.* podcast and author of *American Oligarchs*).

the solution to the trump judge problem: *Defining Drug Courts* National Association of Drug Court Professionals. Drug Court Standards Committee, 1997

Back to Home: <https://fc1.getfilecloud.com>